Lack of Methylation Changes in GJB2 and RB1 Non-coding Regions of Cochlear Implant Patients with Sensorineural Hearing Loss

Angelo Augusto M. Sumalde, MD, PhD,^{1,2,3} Ivana V. Yang, PhD,⁴ Talitha Karisse L. Yarza, MClinAud,^{5,6} Celina Ann M. Tobias-Grasso, BSN, AuD,⁷ Ma. Leah C. Tantoco, MD, MClinAud,^{3,5,6} Elizabeth Davidson,⁴ Abner L. Chan, MD,^{1,3} Mahshid S. Azamian, MD, MPH, CCRP,⁸ Teresa Luisa G. Cruz, MD, MHPEd,^{1,3} Seema R. Lalani, MD,⁸ Maria Rina T. Reyes-Quintos, MD, MClinAud, PhD,^{3,5,6} Eva Maria Cutiongco-de la Paz, MD,^{9,10} Regie Lyn P. Santos-Cortez, MD, PhD² and Charlotte M. Chiong, MD, PhD^{1,3,5,6}

¹College of Medicine, University of the Philippines Manila, Manila, Philippines

²Department of Otolaryngology – Head and Neck Surgery, School of Medicine, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus (CU-AMC), Aurora, Colorado, USA ³Department of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, Philippine General Hospital, University of the Philippines Manila, Manila, Philippines ⁴Department of Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus (CU-AMC), Aurora, Colorado, USA ⁵Philippine National Ear Institute, National Institutes of Health, University of the Philippines Manila, Manila, Philippines ⁶Newborn Hearing Screening Reference Center, National Institutes of Health, University of the Philippines Manila, Manila, Philippines ⁷MED-EL, Innsbruck, Austria ⁸Department of Molecular and Human Genetics, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas, USA ⁹National Institutes of Health, University of the Philippines

¹⁰Philippine Genome Center, UP Diliman Campus, Quezon City, Philippines

ABSTRACT

Objective. Recent advances in epigenetic studies continue to reveal novel mechanisms of gene regulation and control, however little is known on the role of epigenetics in sensorineural hearing loss (SNHL) in humans. We aimed to investigate the methylation patterns of two regions, one in *RB1* and another in *GJB2* in Filipino patients with SNHL compared to hearing control individuals.

Methods. We investigated an *RB1* promoter region that was previously identified as differentially methylated in children with SNHL and lead exposure. Additionally, we investigated a sequence in an enhancer-like region within *GJB2* that contains four CpGs in close proximity. Bisulfite conversion was performed on salivary DNA samples from 15 children with SNHL and 45 unrelated ethnically-matched individuals. We then performed methylation-specific real-time PCR analysis (qMSP) using TaqMan[®] probes to determine percentage methylation of the two regions.

Results. Using qMSP, both our cases and controls had zero methylation at the targeted GJB2 and RB1 regions.

Conclusion. Our study showed no changes in methylation at the selected CpG regions in *RB1* and *GJB2* in the two comparison groups with or without SNHL. This may be due to a lack of environmental exposures to these target regions. Other epigenetic marks may be present around these regions as well as those of other HL-associated genes.



elSSN 2094-9278 (Online) Published: September 28, 2023 https://doi.org/10.47895/amp.v57i9.5200

Corresponding author: Regie Lyn P. Santos-Cortez, MD, PhD Department of Otolaryngology – Head and Neck Surgery School of Medicine

University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus 12700 E. 19th Ave. MS:8606, Aurora, CO 80045 USA Email: regie.santos-cortez@cuanschutz.edu ORCiD: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9958-2535 Keywords: GJB2, hearing loss, methylation, qMSP, RB1, sensorineural

INTRODUCTION

An estimated 50% of hearing loss (HL) is believed to be genetic in origin, with 122 non-syndromic hearing loss genes identified to date and unique population-specific variants being continually discovered.¹ On the other hand, there is little evidence on the role of epigenetics in HL. Epigenetics includes numerous biological processes that result in a change in phenotype without altering the DNA sequence, most commonly due to histone acetylation or DNA methylation.² DNA methylation in promoter regions typically acts to repress gene transcription.³ For HL, variants in the *de novo* methyltransferase *DNMT3A* affected regulation of genes involved in otic placode development, suggesting a role for DNA methylation in inner ear development.⁴

Despite advancements in investigating DNA methylation, studies on the epigenetics of HL in humans remain limited. This is mostly due to the difficulty of obtaining adequate human inner ear tissue for epigenetic study. Studies on mouse inner ear tissues are more numerous^{2,3,5}, for example, in a mouse model of the aging cochlea, connexin 26 expression decreased with concomitant hypermethylation of the promoter region of its encoding gene, GJB26. Although mouse models could potentially lead to epigenetic targets in humans, a study that applied the human methylation profiling platform Illumina Infinium MethylationEPIC to mouse samples showed only 1.6% of probes to be conserved7, indicating low correspondence between human and mouse methylomes. Previously in Chinese children with sensorineural hearing loss (SNHL), exposure to lead and cadmium was associated with differentially-methylated regions in the RB1 promoter.8 Currently, this is the only study that utilized human subjects for an epigenetic study on SNHL, with blood utilized as a surrogate tissue for the

inner ear. Recent evidence suggests that salivary DNA is a better surrogate than blood for brain and neural tissue for methylation studies^{9,10} and possibly the neuroepithelium of the inner ear, which would allow direct investigation of DNA methylation in humans without performing invasive sampling. In this study, we aimed to investigate DNA methylation patterns in non-coding regions of *GJB2* and *RB1* using salivary DNA of Filipino patients with SNHL.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethical approval was obtained from the University of the Philippines Manila Research Ethics Board and the institutional review board of the Baylor College of Medicine and affiliated hospitals. Informed consent was obtained from all study participants.

Salivary DNA samples from 15 Filipino patients who underwent cochlear implantation (CI) for SNHL (ages 3.5-21 years, 67% female; Table 1) and 45 unrelated Filipinos were utilized in this study. CI patient DNA was previously used in investigations on damaging variants in hearing loss, otitis media, and temporal bone anomalies.¹¹⁻¹⁵ Control DNA samples were previously isolated from saliva of a cohort of Filipino-descent individuals who did not have hearing loss and were recruited for a study on speech delay.¹⁶ Bisulfite

Table 1. Clinical Descriptions and Hearing Loss (HL) Genes with Variants in Cochlear Implant Patients¹¹⁻¹⁵

atient No.	Prenatal History	Other Childhood Disease	Temporal Bone Findings	HL gene with variar
1	Maternal joint pain during pregnancy	Unremarkable	Superior semicircular canal dehiscence and otitis media, left	KCNQ4
2	Unremarkable	Unremarkable	EVA, bilateral	SLC26A4
3	Maternal fever at 6 mos AOG	Microscopic hematuria; primary complex	Normal	CDH23
4	Unremarkable	Delayed motor development; white matter disease by MRI	Normal	WFS1
5	Unremarkable	Primary Koch infection	Normal	MYO15A
6	Maternal diabetes at 6 mos AOG	Unremarkable	Malformed cochlea, bilateral; absent cochlear and inferior vestibular nerves, right	SLC9A3R1
7	Unremarkable	Unremarkable	EVA, bilateral	COL4A3
8	Unremarkable	Unremarkable	EVA, bilateral	SLC26A4
9	Unremarkable	Global developmental delay; left foot inversion	Normal	MYH14
10	Unremarkable	Maternal urinary tract infection and eclampsia during pregnancy	EVA, left	IST1ª
11	Unremarkable	Global developmental delay	Normal	SLC12A2 ^b
12	Unremarkable	Sepsis at 19 days of age and was prescribed various antibiotics, one of which was Amikacin	Normal	ΜΥΟ7Α ^ь
13	Unremarkable	Turbinate hypertrophy secondary to papillary allergic rhinitis with nodule in nasopharynx	Normal	CLDN9 ^b
14	Unremarkable	Unremarkable	Normal	GREB1L ^b ; CBLN3 ^a
15	Unremarkable	Unremarkable	EVA; Otitis media, left	GDPD5 ^a

^a variant is found in candidate gene¹⁵; ^b variant is novel and found in a known HL gene¹⁵

Abbreviations: AOG, age of gestation; EVA, enlarged vestibular aqueduct

	Forward primer	Reverse primer	Methylated probe	Unmethylated probe
RB1	5'-GTTTAAGGAG	5'- AAATAACTATAAA	FAM5'-AACACGTCCGAACCG	VIC5'-AACACATCCAAACC
	GGAGAGTGG-3'	CCTCATCCCTATCC-3'	CGCCGAATAC3'-TAMRA	ACACCAAATAC3'-TAMRA
GJB2	5'-GGAATTGATTT	5'- AAAAAAACCACTA	FAM-5'CCGAAATCGACT	VIC-5'CCAAAATCAACTAA
	TTATTTTTTGGAG-3'	AAATCTTAACCC-3'	AACTCCGCGTTA3'-TAMRA	CTCCACATTA3'-TAMRA

Table 2. Primer and Probe Sets for RB1 and GJB2 Target Regions

conversion of DNA samples was performed as described in the EpiTect Bisulfite Kit (Qiagen).

Two CpG-rich regions were investigated. The *RB1* promoter sequence contains five CpG sites (hg19 chr13:48877688, chr13:48877674, chr13:48877677, chr13:48877679, chr13:48877684).¹⁷ Additionally, we investigated a region located in an enhancer-like region (EH38E1658502) between exons 1 and 2 of the SNHL-associated gene *GJB2*. This region contains four CpGs in close proximity (hg19 chr13:20766381, chr13:20766387, chr13:20766397, chr13:20766399) as shown in iMETHYL and the ENCODE project.^{18,19} For the *GJB2* region, primers for methylation-specific qPCR (qMSP) were designed using MethPrimer software.²⁰ qMSP using TaqMan[®] probes was then performed using the EpiTect Methylight PCR kit (Qiagen) using gene-specific primer and probe sets (Table 2).

Standardization was done using the EpiTect PCR Control DNA Set (Qiagen) which contains 100% methylated and 100% unmethylated control DNA. Additionally, 75%, 50%, and 25% methylated controls were prepared. Percentage methylation was calculated using the following formula:

$$C_{meth} = 100/[1+2^{(CT_{FAM} - CT_{VIC})}]\%$$

where $C_{\rm meth}$ is percentage methylation, $CT_{\rm FAM}$ is threshold cycle of the methylated reporter (FAM channel), and $CT_{\rm VIC}$ is the threshold cycle of the unmethylated reporter (VIC channel).^{21}

RESULTS

Bisulfite-converted DNA samples were of high concentration and purity based on nanodrop measurements, 260/280 ratio and 260/230 ratio. PCR using *RB1* and *GJB2* primers produced appropriately-sized bands.

For both *RB1* and *GJB2*, the 100% methylated and 100% unmethylated BSC standards produced only FAM and VIC signals, respectively, while the 75%, 50%, and 25% methylated standards produced both FAM and VIC signals appropriately, indicating that the system was able to distinguish the methylation levels of both target regions. qMSP of bisulfite-converted salivary DNA revealed that for both CI patients and ethnically-matched controls, only VIC signals were produced. This indicates zero methylation at the targeted *RB1* promoter and *GJB2* enhancer-like regions for both cases and controls.

DISCUSSION

qMSP of bisulfite-converted salivary DNA of Filipino CI patients and non-hearing-impaired controls revealed 0% methylation at a region in the *RB1* promoter previously described as being differentially methylated in SNHL patients exposed to lead and cadmium⁸ and a target sequence in an enhancer-like region in the SNHL-associated gene *GJB2*. This finding may be due to the lack of sufficient levels of environmental exposures causing differences in the target regions. Population-specific differences may also be present, as the cohort from the study by Xu et al.⁸ were of Chinese descent.

Alternatively, there may be other genetic factors that could have explained this finding. It must be noted that the DNA samples from our CI patients were submitted for exome sequencing,¹¹⁻¹⁵ and in six out of fifteen patients, known variants in HL genes were not identified. Further exome analysis of these patients, however, revealed potential candidate genes for HL and temporal bone anomalies in three patients as well as novel variants in known HL genes in four patients (patients 10-15; Table 1).¹⁵ In the other 10 patients, rare damaging variants were identified in non-GJB2 HL genes (patients 1-9; Table 1). For this study, we hypothesized that differentially-methylated regions (DMRs) in non-coding sequences of GJB2 or other known HL-associated genes may be present in our SNHL patients. In this study, GJB2 was selected for methylation studies due to it being the most common HL-associated gene in many world populations²², however we found zero methylation at the selected enhancerlike region of GJB2. In addition to the CpG sites we tested, several enhancer-like regions were located between the first and second exons of GJB2. Changes in non-coding regions in the form of epigenetic marks may be present in these GJB2 regions, or in non-coding regions of other genes for HL.

qMSP has been criticized as being more challenging in terms of designing primers specific for methylated and nonmethylated regions²³, however, improvements in the design of protocols and kits which utilize only one primer set alongside methylation-specific TaqMan[®] probes as in the case of this study has allowed easier, high-throughput, and sensitive evaluation of percentage methylation of target sequences²¹. However, we were somewhat limited as to which region as well as sequence length to investigate due to the use of TaqMan[®] probes. A more comprehensive investigation into these CpG-rich regions, for example using pyrosequencing or amplicon sequencing following bisulfite conversion to investigate the entire stretch between exons 1 and 2 of *GJB2*, may yield DMRs associated with HL.

Other limitations of this study must be considered. First, we did not have environmental data such as chemical exposure from our cases and controls. Second, we only investigated for methylation patterns in this study, and no other means of epigenetic control. However, exome data from our CI cohort were negative for variants in microRNA genes previously associated with HL in Spanish families.²⁴ Third, the relatively small sample size of our cohort may not have been enough to detect any differences in methylation patterns between cases and controls. Finally, due to the aforementioned difficulty of obtaining human inner ear tissue, we utilized salivary DNA as a surrogate for inner ear neuroepithelium.

CONCLUSION

In summary, we showed that in Filipino CI patients and hearing controls, there were no changes in terms of methylation in a sequence previously described as a DMR in hearing-impaired children exposed to lead and cadmium, as well as a CpG-rich sequence in an enhancer-like region of *GJB2*. Further study into these regions as well as noncoding regions in other HL-associated genes may yield DMRs associated with HL, preferably using genome-wide methylation arrays.

Acknowledgments

We thank the patients and individuals who provided DNA samples. We also thank C Garcia, M Pedro, T Bootpetch, S Chanthaponh, D Frank and H Jenkins for general support.

Statement of Authorship

All authors certified fulfillment of ICMJE authorship criteria.

Author Disclosure

All authors declared no conflicts of interest.

Funding Source

A.M.S. was funded by the Philippine Council for Health Research and Development of the Department of Science and Technology (PCHRD-DOST) under the Research Enrichment (Sandwich) Grant of the Accelerated Science and Technology Human Resource Development Program. Recruitment, DNA collection from the Filipino patients, and exome sequencing were funded by grants PCHRD-DOST FP150010 and UP Manila-NIH 2008–005 (to C.M.C.). Studies on the genetics and epigenomics of hearing loss are being funded by the US National Institutes of Health – National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders through grant R01 DC019642 (to R.S.C. and I.V.Y.).

REFERENCES

- Van Camp G, Smith RJH. Hereditary Hearing Loss Homepage [Internet]. [cited 2022 Feb]. Available from: https://hereditary hearingloss.org
- Provenzano MJ, Domann FE. A role for epigenetics in hearing: Establishment and maintenance of auditory specific gene expression patterns. Hear Res. 2007 Nov;233(1-2):1-13. doi: 10.1016/j.heares. 2007.07.002.
- Mittal R, Bencie N, Liu G, Eshraghi N, Nisenbaum E, Blanton SH, et al. Recent advancements in understanding the role of epigenetics in the auditory system. Gene. 2020 Nov;761:144996. doi: 10.1016/ j.gene.2020.144996.
- Roellig D, Bronner ME. The epigenetic modifier DNMT3A is necessary for proper otic placode formation. Dev Biol. 2016 Mar;411(2): 294–300. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2016.01.034.
- Friedman LM, Avraham KB. MicroRNAs and epigenetic regulation in the mammalian inner ear : implications for deafness. Mamm Genome. Sep-Oct. 2009;20(9-10):581–603. doi: 10.1007/s00335-009-9230-5.
- Wu X, Wang Y, Sun Y, Chen S, Zhang S, Shen L, et al. Reduced expression of connexin26 and its DNA promoter hypermethylation in the inner ear of mimetic aging rats induced by d-galactose. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2014 Sep;452(3):340–6. doi: 10.1016/j. bbrc.2014.08.063.
- Gujar H, Liang JW, Wong NC, Mozhui K. Profiling DNA methylation differences between inbred mouse strains on the Illumina Human Infinium MethylationEPIC microarray. PLoS One. 2018 Mar;13(3):e0193496. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0193496.
- Xu L, Huo X, Liu Y, Zhang Y, Qin Q, Xu X. Hearing loss risk and DNA methylation signatures in preschool children following lead and cadmium exposure from an electronic waste recycling area. Chemosphere. 2020 May;246:125829. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere. 2020.125829.
- Braun PR, Han S, Hing B, Nagahama Y, Gaul LN, Heinzman JT, et al. Genome-wide DNA methylation comparison between live human brain and peripheral tissues within individuals. Transl Psychiatry. 2019 Jan;9(1):47. doi: 10.1038/s41398-019-0376-y.
- Smith AK, Kilaru V, Klengel T, Mercer KB, Bradley B, Conneely KN, et al. DNA extracted from saliva for methylation studies of psychiatric traits: Evidence tissue specificity and relatedness to brain. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet. 2015 Jan;168B(1):36-44. doi: 10.1002/ajmg.b.32278.
- Chiong CM, Cutiongco-dela Paz EM, Reyes-Quintos MRT, Tobias CAM, Hernandez K, Santos-Cortez RLP. GJB2 Variants and auditory outcomes among Filipino cochlear implantees. Audiol Neurotol Extra. 2013;3(1):1–8. doi: 10.1159/000346271
- Chiong CM, Reyes-quintos MRT, Yarza T, Tobias-grasso CAM, Acharya A, Leal SM, et al. The SLC26A4 c . 706C > G (p . Leu236Val) variant is a frequent cause of hearing impairment in Filipino cochlear implantees. Otol Neurotol. 2018 Sep;39(8):e726–30. doi: 10.1097/ MAO.000000000001893.
- Truong BT, Yarza TKL, Roberts TB, Roberts S, Xu J, Steritz MJ, et al. Exome sequencing reveals novel variants and unique allelic spectrum for hearing impairment in Filipino cochlear implantees. Clin Genet. 2019 May;95(5):634-6. doi: 10.1111/cge.13515.
- Larson ED, Magno JPM, Steritz MJ, Llanes EGDV, Cardwell J, Pedro M, et al. A2ML1 and otitis media: novel variants, differential expression, and relevant pathways. Hum Mutat. 2019 Aug;40(8): 1156–71. doi: 10.1002/humu.23769.
- Santos-Cortez RLP, Yarza TKL, Bootpetch TC, Tantoco MLC, Mohlke KL, Cruz TLG, et al. Identification of novel candidate genes and variants for hearing loss and temporal bone anomalies. Genes (Basel). 2021 Apr;12(4):566. doi: 10.3390/genes12040566
- Wiszniewski W, Hunter J V., Hanchard NA, Willer JR, Shaw C, Tian Q, et al. TM4SF20 ancestral deletion and susceptibility to a pediatric disorder of early language delay and cerebral white matter

hyperintensities. Am J Hum Genet.. 2013 Aug;93(2):197–210. doi: 10.1016/j.ajhg.2013.05.027

- 17. University of California Santa Cruz. UCSC Genome Browser [Internet]. [cited 2022 Feb]. Available from: genome.ucsc.edu
- Komaki S, Shiwa Y, Furukawa R, Hachiya T, Ohmomo H, Otomo R, et al. iMETHYL: An integrative database of human DNA methylation, gene expression, and genomic variation. Hum Genome Var. 2018 Mar;5:18008. doi: 10.1038/hgv.2018.8.
- Meissner A, Mikkelsen TS, Gu H, Wernig M, Hanna J, Sivachenko A, et al. Genome-scale DNA methylation maps of pluripotent and differentiated cells. Nature. 2008 Aug 7;454(7205):766-70. doi: 10.1038/nature07107.
- The Li Lab, Peking Union Medical College Hospital (PUMCH), Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences. MethPrimer [Internet]. [cited 2022 Feb]. Available from: http://www.urogene.org/methprimer
- Eads CA, Danenberg KD, Kawakami K, Saltz LB, Blake C, Shibata D, et al. MethyLight : a high-throughput assay to measure DNA methylation. Nucleic Acids Res. 2000 Apr;28(8):E32. doi: 10.1093/ nar/28.8.e32.
- Azaiez H, Booth KT, Ephraim SS, Crone B, Black-Ziegelbein EA, Marini RJ, et al. Genomic landscape and mutational signatures of deafness-associated genes. Am J Hum Genet. 2018 Oct;103(4):484–97. doi: 10.1016/j.ajhg.2018.08.006.
- Sestakova S, Salek C, Remesova H. DNA methylation validation methods : a coherent review with practical comparison. Biol Proced Online. 2019 Oct;21:19. doi: 10.1186/s12575-019-0107-z.
- Mencía A, Modamio-Høybjør S, Redshaw N, Morín M, Mayo-Merino F, Olavarrieta L, et al. Mutations in the seed region of human miR-96 are responsible for nonsyndromic progressive hearing loss. Nat Genet. 2009 May;41(5):609–13. doi: 10.1038/ng.355.