Cross-cultural Adaptation and Validation of the Filipino Translation of the Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score in Filipinos with Knee Osteoarthritis at a Tertiary Hospital

Ainstein Marie A. Villanueva-Misa, MD¹ and Ester G. Penserga, MD²

¹Philippine General Hospital, University of the Philippines Manila ²College of Medicine and Philippine General Hospital, University of the Philippines Manila

ABSTRACT

Objectives. 1) To translate and cross-culturally adapt the Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) into Filipino; 2) To validate the Filipino translation of KOOS using the Filipino Short-Form 36 Health Survey (SF-36) among patients with knee osteoarthritis (kOA) at a tertiary hospital.

Methodology. A Filipino version of the KOOS was translated and cross-culturally adapted from the original English version and validated following standard guidelines. Adult Filipino patients with knee osteoarthritis at the University of the Philippines-Philippine General Hospital were asked to complete identical questionnaires containing the Filipino KOOS and Filipino SF-36, with re-test on the same patients after a median of 14 days. Reliability was assessed using Cronbach's alpha and intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC); dimensionality using convergent and divergent construct validity.

Results. The Filipino translation of the KOOS was administered to 30 patients with knee OA (kOA). Cronbach's α across the Filipino KOOS domains ranged from 0.71 to 0.89 suggesting good internal consistency. The reproducibility of measurements of all KOOS subscales by ICC ranged from 0.97 to 1.0. For convergent construct validity, there was moderate correlation between KOOS ADL (0.38, p =0.03) and knee-related QoL (0.42, p=0.02) by SF-36 Physical Functioning (PF). A strong correlation (0.51, p=0.003) was observed between KOOS sports and recreation domain with SF-36 PF. For divergent construct validity, there was weak correlation between KOOS pain (0.015, p=0.93) and symptoms (0.15, p=0.42) with SF 36 Social Functioning.

Conclusion. The Filipino version of the KOOS is a valid and reliable instrument to measure the different aspects of disability affecting quality of life of Filipino patients with kOA.

Key Words: Filipino KOOS, knee osteoarthritis, knee injury and osteoarthritis outcome score, cross-cultural adaptation and validation

INTRODUCTION

Osteoarthritis (OA) is defined as end-stage joint disease, arising from multiple factors that create intra-articular stress, damage and ultimately, failed repair.¹ OA pathology includes progressive bone remodeling, cartilage degradation, and loss of joint space, which lead to joint pain, stiffness and loss of function, thereby, affecting the general health of an individual.²

OA is one of the most common joint diseases worldwide. Among adults 60 years of age or older, the prevalence of symptomatic kOA is approximately 10% in men and 13% in women.³ In the Philippines, the point prevalence

Corresponding author: Ainstein Marie A. Villanueva-Misa, MD Philippine General Hospital University of the Philippines Manila Taft Avenue, Ermita, Manila 1000, Philippines Email: ainsteinmisa@gmail.com of osteoarthritis is 4.1% in an urban setting, which has a population of 11 million (mean age, 34 years).⁴ The number of people affected with symptomatic OA is expected to increase due to the aging population and obesity epidemic.⁵

The Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) is a disease-specific health related quality of life (HRQoL) instrument. The KOOS can be used over short and long time intervals; to monitor treatment effects from week to week, or document the course of knee injury or posttraumatic $OA.^6$

HRQoL instruments give standardized assessment of the impact of a disease on an individual's daily living. For patients with OA, it has been shown that the KOOS can assess vast domains of importance even in groups that differ both socially and culturally. Given this, we aimed to crossculturally adapt and validate the Filipino version of the KOOS among patients with knee osteoarthritis in a tertiary hospital in Manila. The adaptation and validation of the KOOS will provide a useful tool for use among Filipinos with kOA. It will also provide additional data on the utility of the KOOS in Asian countries.⁷

OBJECTIVES

General Objective

To validate the Filipino translation of the KOOS in patients with kOA at the University of the Philippines-Philippine General Hospital (UP-PGH).

Specific Objectives

- 1. To translate and cross-culturally adapt the KOOS into Filipino
- 2. To determine the convergent and divergent construct validity of the Filipino KOOS with the Filipino SF-36

METHODOLOGY

Cross-Cultural Adaptation Method

The original version of the KOOS by Ewa Roos was obtained online. Cross-cultural translation and adaptation guidelines recommended by Beaton et al.8 was used to create the Filipino version. Two independent translators translated the English version into Filipino; one was a qualified translator of the Sentro ng Wikang Filipino of the University of the Philippines and the other, a rheumatologist aware of the concepts being examined in the questionnaire. A reconciled version was developed and was back translated into English by another independent translator from the Komisyon ng Wikang Filipino. With further refinements based on the feedback from back translation, the consensus Filipino version was finalized. The forward and backward translations were reconciled into one version by a committee composed of three rheumatologists and two members of the Sentro ng Wikang Filipino. This version was used in a

cognitive debriefing interview with five Filipino-speaking patients with knee OA. The version was finalized after incorporating suggestions from the KOOS developer and the patients. This final version was pilot-tested among 30 Filipino patients who have signed an informed consent form.

Validation Study

Study Setting and Population

The study was conducted at the Department of Orthopedics of the University of the Philippines-Philippine General Hospital, a tertiary hospital in Manila, Philippines. We included Filipino patients 40 years old and older, diagnosed with knee OA using the the American College of Rheumatology criteria for knee osteoarthritis, who understood and were able to complete the selfreport questionnaires.

Data Collection

After signing an informed consent, each participant was asked to answer the pretested questionnaires containing the Filipino KOOS and Filipino SF-36. Data on sociodemographic characteristics and co-morbidities were collected.

Instruments

The KOOS is a patient-reported outcome measurement instrument that evaluates both short-term and long-term consequences of knee injury and primary osteoarthritis (OA). It has 42 items in five separately scored subscales, namely; pain (9 items), symptoms (7 items), activities in daily living (ADL) (17 items), function in sport and recreation (5 items), and knee-related quality of life (QoL) (4 items).6 A fivepoint Likert scale that ranges from 0 (least severe) to 4 (most severe) was used as a scoring system.⁴ Each of the five scores in the Likert scale was calculated as the sum of the included items. A normalized score is calculated for each domain by transforming these scores to a scale from 0 to 100, with 0 indicating functional impairment and extreme symptoms, and 100 signifying no symptoms nor functional impairment. The score calculation together with the rest of the users' guide for KOOS can be obtained online.

The SF-36 is a generic instrument which assesses "wellbeing and functional health from the patient's perspective."⁹ It is a 36-item questionnaire which has been used globally to assess changes in health status as well as compare the burden of illness in a population. The eight areas of perceived health in SF-36 include: physical functioning (PF), role physical (RP), bodily pain (BP), general health (GH), vitality (VT), social functioning (SF), role emotional (RE) and mental health (MH). Scores range from zero to 100, with a higher score representing better health status. The Filipino version of the SF-36 has been validated for use in the Philippines.

Statistical Analysis

We used Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) for data management and statistical analyses. Statistical significance was defined as P<0.05.

- 1. **Feasibility.** Feasibility was assessed using the percentages of responses and using the floor and ceiling effects in the population. Presence of floor and ceiling effects was considered if more than 15% of the respondents achieved the highest or the lowest possible scores.
- 2. **Construct validity.** Convergent and divergent construct validity was determined by comparing the results of the KOOS and SF-36 questionnaires. The Spearman's rank correlation was used to assess the association between domains. In accordance with the theoretical measurement of similar or divergent construct and results of the

Table 1. Characteristics of participants completing the Fili	pino
KOOS	

Characteristic	n (%)
Mean age (years)	65
Gender	
Female	29 (97)
Male	1 (3)
Status	
Single	2 (7)
Married	25 (83)
Widow	3 (10)
Separated	0
Educational attainment	
Elementary	1 (3)
High school	15 (50)
College	14 (47)
Occupation	
Homemaker	21 (70)
BMI, mean	25.9
Kellgren-Lawrence Score	
1	1 (3)
2	17 (57)
3	12 (40)
Co-morbidities	
HTN	14 (47)
DM	1 (3)
Mean duration of OA (years)	9.03
Candidate for surgery	
Yes	11 (37)
No	19 (63)

KOOS, Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score; OA, Osteoarthritis

validation studies of the KOOS questionnaires in other languages, a priori hypotheses were generated for convergent, meaning moderate to strong correlation expected, and divergent, meaning weak correlation expected.¹⁰ We expected the strongest correlations between scales that were supposed to measure the same or similar constructs. Since the KOOS is designed to measure physical health rather than mental health we expected to observe strong correlations between the KOOS subscales and the SF-36 subscales of physical function, bodily pain, and role physical (convergent construct validity) than between KOOS subscales and the SF-36 subscales of mental health, vitality, role emotional, social functioning, and general health (divergent construct validity).¹¹

3. **Reliability.** Internal consistency was assessed using Cronbach's alpha coefficient. Evaluation of the reliability used the intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) (two-way model, single measure), with 95% confidence interval. An ICC of more than 0.80 is indicative of excellent reproducibility.

The KOOS was administered a second time after an interval of 14 days to assess test-retest reliability.

RESULTS

Cross Cultural Adaptation

The seventh item under the ADL domain in the English version was revised from "Getting in/out of car" to "Riding in and getting off a vehicle" to be more appropriate in the Filipino setting. The rest of the items in the Filipino KOOS were well understood by participants in the cognitive debriefing, and no further changes were deemed necessary. It was accepted and was used in the subsequent validation study.

Validation

Participant characteristics and KOOS scores

Thirty patients with knee osteoarthritis completed re-test interviews after a median of 14 days. Participant characteristics are shown in Table 1. The mean age of the subjects is 65 years. Majority are females and the mean BMI is 25.9. They have long standing osteoarthritis with a mean duration of symptoms of 9.03 years. Majority have Kellgren-Lawrence score of 2 (56.7).

Table 2. Distribution and reliability of ROOS scores										
KOOS Domains	Mean	Median	% of participants at floor/ceiling	Cronbach's α	Test-retest ICC					
Pain	43.1	44.4	0	0.89	0.99					
Symptoms	44.3	46.4	0	0.82	0.99					
ADL	37.9	37.1	0	0.86	0.98					
Sports and Recreation Function	15.2	15.8	23	0.80	1.00					
Knee-related QoL	37.3	40.6	0	0.71	0.97					

KOOS, Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score; ICC, intraclass correlation; ADL, Activities of daily living; QoL, Quality of life

	Filipino KOOS									
Filipino SF-36 —	Pain	Symptom	ADL	Sports and Recreation	Knee Related QoL					
Physical	0.28	0.40	0.38	0.52	0.42					
Functioning	0.13*	0.03*	0.04*	0.0034*	0.02*					
Role -	0.16	0.11	0.09	0.25	0.25					
Physical	0.39*	0.56*	0.65*	0.18*	0.17*					
Bodily	0.16	0.34	0.09	0.11	0.30					
Pain	0.39*	0.07*	0.62*	0.55*	0.12*					
General	0.25	0.40	0.19	0.23	0.41					
Health	0.18*	0.03*	0.32*	0.22*	0.03*					
Vitality	0.26	0.20	0.37	0.40	0.32					
	0.17*	0.30*	0.04*	0.03*	0.08*					
Social	0.02	0.16	0.01	0.20	0.30					
Functioning	0.94*	0.41*	0.95*	0.28*	0.11*					
Role -	0.18	0.06	-0.09	0.26	0.10					
Emotional	0.33*	0.75*	0.62*	0.16*	0.61*					
Mental	0.42	0.36	0.42	0.33	0.40					
Health	0.02*	0.05*	0.02*	0.07*	0.03*					

Table 3. Construct validity: correlations between the Filipino KOOS and Filipino SF-36

KOOS, Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score; SF, Short-Form; ADL, Activities of daily living; QoL, Quality of life Strong: Corr coefficient >0.5; Moderate: 0.5–0.35; Weak: C< 0.35

*p-value (significant correlations at <0.05)

There was a floor and ceiling effect in the sports and recreation function domain with 23% of responses achieving the lowest possible score (Table 2). Missing data for the KOOS items were mainly under the sports and recreation function domain (SP2-SP4) and a few under the activities of daily living (A16-17).

Assessment of psychometric properties

Cronbach's α coefficients across the Filipino KOOS domains were >0.7, suggesting acceptable internal consistency within each domain. ICC values were also high at >0.9, which indicated good reproducibility and reliability of the Filipino KOOS in each subscale.

As expected, there was strong or moderate correlation between the KOOS and SF-36 scales, which were intended to measure similar constructs. Physical functioning was strongly correlated with the sport and recreation function and moderately correlated with symptom, activities of daily living and knee-related QoL. General health was moderately correlated with symptom and knee-related QoL. Vitality was moderately correlated with activities of daily living and sport and recreation domain (Table 3). In contrast, some unexpected results were obtained; in particular, moderate correlation of mental health with pain, symptom, activities of daily living, and knee-related QoL function. This was also observed in a study by Ornetti et al. Weak correlations were shown between all KOOS domains with SF-36 social functioning, physical role, bodily pain and emotional role which were expected based on previous methodological studies of the KOOS.^{12,13}

DISCUSSION

In this study, the cross-culturally adapted Filipino version of the KOOS demonstrated acceptable psychometric properties among urban Filipino patients with knee osteoarthritis. The need to change the seventh item under the activities of daily living was apt for the Filipinos, majority of whom use public transport such as jeepneys, tricycles and buses instead of bringing their own car. This suggests that the Filipino version of the KOOS is a reliable and valid HRQoL measure in patients with knee OA in this sociocultural context.

The psychometric properties of the Filipino KOOS version were similar to the source English version. This version was shown to be reliable for group comparisons and was similar with the original KOOS as demonstrated by the Cronbach's alpha and ICC values.

Moderate correlation between KOOS ADL and kneerelated QoL with SF 36 physical functioning domain such as lifting/carrying groceries, climbing one or several flights of stairs, bending/kneeling/stooping, which measured similar constructs, strongly supports convergent construct validity. These activities are common in our population with 70% doing house chores. The sport and recreation domain did not show moderate to strong correlation with bodily pain as hypothesized. This could be due to the floor effect seen in the scores of this domain which can be attributed to the elderly population included in this study who may no longer have participated actively in sports activities. This study showed that there is a strong correlation between KOOS sports and recreation domain with SF physical functioning, which is consistent with the activities of the participants. We observed more correlations between the Filipino version of KOOS and Filipino SF 36 than was hypothesized.

In this study, the entire spectrum of OA may not be represented as evidenced by the predominance of Kellgren-Lawrence Grade 2 and 3 in the patient sample. This is further reflected in the lower construct validity and significant floor effect observed for the sport and recreation function domain, which was originally designed for physically active young patients with knee OA or knee injuries.

CONCLUSION

This Filipino translation of the KOOS demonstrated acceptable psychometric properties in Filipino patients with knee OA and may be used locally to capture the different aspects of functional disability affecting the quality of life of patients with knee OA.

Statement of Authorship

Both authors participated in the data collection and analysis and approved the final version submitted.

Author Disclosure

Both authors declared no conflicts of interest.

Funding Source

None.

REFERENCES

- 1. Hochberg MC. Osteoarthritis: a story of close relationship between bone and cartilage. Medicographia. 2013; 35.
- 2. Jones A, Silva PG, Silva AC, Colucci M, Tuffanin A, Jardim JR, et al. Impact of cane use on pain, function, general health and energy expenditure during gait in patients with knee osteoarthritis: a randomised controlled trial. Ann Rheum Dis 2012; 71:172-9.

- Zhang Y, Jordan JM, MD. Epidemiology of osteoarthritis. Clin Geriatr Med. Aug 2010; 26(3):355-69.
- Penserga EZ. Disease mechanisms in osteoarthritis. Philip J Intern Med. 2009; 47:231-5.
- Lawrence RC, Felson DT, Helmick CG, Arnold LM, Choi H, Deyo RA, et al for the National Arthritis Data Workgroup. Estimates of the prevalence of arthritis and other rheumatic conditions in the United States. Part II. Arthritis Rheum. 2008; 58(1):26-35.
- Roos EM & Lohmander LS. The Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS): from joint injury to osteoarthritis. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2003; 1:64.
- Xie F, Li SC, Roos EM, Fong KY, Lo NN, Yeo SJ, et al. Cross-cultural adaptation and validation of Singapore English and Chinese versions of the Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) in Asians with knee osteoarthritis in Singapore. Osteoarthr Cartil. 2006; 14:1098e1103.
- Beaton DE, Bombardier C, Guillemin F and Ferraz MB. Guidelines for the process of cross-cultural adaptation of self-report measures. SPINE. 2000; 25(24):3186-91.
- Castillo-Carandang NT, Sison OT, Grefal ML, Sy RG, Alix OC, Llanes EJ. A community-based validation study of the Short-Form 36 Version 2 Philippines (Tagalog) in two cities in the Philippines. PLoS One. 2013 Dec 26; 8(12):e83794
- Ornetti P, Parratte S, Gossec L, Tavernier C, Argenson JN, Roos EM. Cross-cultural adaptation and validation of the French version of the Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) in knee osteoarthritis patients. Osteoarthr Cartil. 2008; 16:423e428
- Roos, Ewa and Toksvig-Larsen S. Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) - validation and comparison to the WOMAC in total knee replacement. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2003 May 25; 1:17. doi: 10.1186/1477-7525-1-17.
- Roos EM, Roos HP, Lohmander LS, Ekdahl C, Beynnon BD. Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS)--development of a self-administered outcome measure.J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 1998 Aug; 28(2):88-96.
- Roos EM, Roos HP, Ekdahl C, Lohmander LS. Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS)--validation of a Swedish version. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 1998 Dec; 8(6):439-48.

The Acta Medica Philippina is now accepting limited advertising for its front and back cover (colored), as well as for available spaces in some of its pages, as appropriate. For inquiries and submission of proposals, please email us at actamedicaphilippina.upm@up.edu.ph

APPENDIX

Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS)

Petsa Ngayon: ____/ ___ Petsa ng Kapanganakan: ____/ ___/ Pangalan: ____/

PANUTO: May kinalaman ang sarbey na ito sa inyong tuhod. Makakatulong ang impormasyong inyong ibabahagi para malaman ang inyong saloobin at kung paano ninyo nagagampanan ang mga karaniwan ninyong mga gawain.

Sagutin ang bawat tanong sa pamamagitan ng pagtsek sa iisa lamang na kahong katapat nito. Kung di naman kayo sigurado kung paano ito sasagutin, pakiusap na ibigay ninyo pa rin ang pinakamalapit ninyong kasagutan.

Sintomas

Sagutan ang mga tanong batay sa naramdaman ninyong mga sintomas sa inyong tuhod nitong NAKALIPAS NA LINGGO.

S1.	Namaga ba ang inyo □ Hindi kahit kaila		nira 🗆	Minsan		Madalas		Palagi
S2.	Nakakaramdam ba ninyo ang inyong tu □ Hindi kahit kaila	uhod?	-	o nakakarinig Minsan		o ng "Klik" o it Madalas		ng uri ng tunog kapag iginagalaw Palagi
S3.	Napapahinto ka ba □ Hindi kahit kaila		•	n mo lumilihis Minsan		nyong tuhod? Madalas		Palagi
S4.	Kaya ninyo bang itu □ Palagi	uwid o iunat ng lu □ Madalas	busan ang iny □ Minsan		adalan	g 🗆 Hind	li kai	lanman
S5.	Kaya ninyo bang iba □ Palagi	aluktot ng lubusa □ Madalas	n ang inyong t □ Minsan		adalan	g 🗆 Hinc	li kai	lanman
Paninigas May kinalaman ang susunod na mga katanungan sa tindi ng paninigas na naranasan ninyo sa inyong tuhod nitong NAKALIPAS NA LINGGO. Ang paninigas ay isang uri ng pakiramdam kung saan limitado o mabagal ang paggalaw ng inyong tuhod. S6. Gaano katindi ang paninigas ng inyong tuhod sa paggising ninyo sa umaga? Image: Wala Image: Bahagya Image: Wala Image: Katamtaman Image: Material colspan="2">Matindi								
S7.	Gaano katindi ang p □ Wala	paninigas ng inyo □ Bahagya	ng tuhod pagk			upo, mahiga o Matindi		ahinga sa hapon? Napakatindi
Pagkirot PI. Gaano mo kadalas maranasan ang pagkirot ng inyong tuhod? □ Hindi kahit kalian □ Buwanan □ Lingguhan □ Araw-araw □ Palagian								
Gaano katindi ang pagkirot ng tuhod na inyong naranasan habang ginagampanan ninyo ang mga sumusunod na gawain nitong NAKALIPAS LINGGO? P2. Pagpihit ng inyong tuhod U Wala Dahagya Katamtaman Matindi Napakatindi								
P3.	Pagtuwid nang lubu □ Wala	usan ng tuhod 🔲 Bahagya	🗆 Katamt	aman		Matindi		Napakatindi
P4.	Pagtiklop-tuhod 🗆 Wala	🗆 Bahagya	🗆 Katamt	aman		Matindi		Napakatindi
P5.	Paglakad sa patag n □ Wala	na daan □ Bahagya	🗆 Katamt	aman		Matindi		Napakatindi

P6.	Pag-akyat at pagbal □ Wala		a hagdan Bahagya		Katamtaman		Matindi		Napakatindi
P7.	Sa gabi habang naka □ Wala		a sa kama Bahagya		Katamtaman		Matindi		Napakatindi
P8.	Nakaupo o nakahig □ Wala	a □	Bahagya		Katamtaman		Matindi		Napakatindi
P9.	Nakatayo nang tuw 🛛 Wala	id □	Bahagya		Katamtaman		Matindi		Napakatindi
Pang-araw-araw na gawain May kinalaman sa pisikal na gawain ang susunod na mga katanungan tulad ng kakayahang gumalaw at pag-aayos ng sarili. Para sa iba pang mga gawain, pakisulat kung gaano katindi ang hirap na inyong narasanan nitong NAKALIPAS NA LINGGO dahil sa inyong tuhod.									
A1.	Pagbaba sa hagdan □ Wala		Bahagya		Katamtaman		Matindi		Napakatindi
A2.	Pag-akyat sa hagda □ Wala	n □	Bahagya		Katamtaman		Matindi		Napakatindi
Para sa A3.				gaar	no katindi ang hirap na in	iyon	ig naranasan no	ong	isang linggo dahil sa inyong tuhod.
AJ.	Pagtayo mula sa pa □ Wala		Bahagya		Katamtaman		Matindi		Napakatindi
A4.	Pagtayo □ Wala		Bahagya		Katamtaman		Matindi		Napakatindi
A5.	Pagyuko sa sahig/p □ Wala		ılot ng bagay Bahagya		Katamtaman		Matindi		Napakatindi
A6.	Paglakad sa patag n □ Wala		gar Bahagya		Katamtaman		Matindi		Napakatindi
A7.	Pagsakay o pagbaba □ Wala	-	sasakyan Bahagya		Katamtaman		Matindi		Napakatindi
A8.	Pamimili∕pamamale □ Wala		e Bahagya		Katamtaman		Matindi		Napakatindi
A9.	Pagsusuot ng medy □ Wala		tockings Bahagya		Katamtaman		Matindi		Napakatindi
A10.	Pagbangon mula sa □ Wala		aan Bahagya		Katamtaman		Matindi		Napakatindi
A11.	Pagtatanggal ng me □ Wala	dya:			Katamtaman		Matindi		Napakatindi
A12.	Nakahiga sa kama (□ Wala		oihit ng katawa Bahagya	n) □	Katamtaman		Matindi		Napakatindi
A13.	Pagpasok at paglab □ Wala		iula sa banyo Bahagya		Katamtaman		Matindi		Napakatindi
A14.	Naka-upo □ Wala		Bahagya		Katamtaman		Matindi		Napakatindi
A15.	Pag-upo at pagtayo □ Wala	mul	la sa inidoro Bahagya		Katamtaman		Matindi		Napakatindi

	wat sumusunod na g sa inyong tuhod.	gawa	iin, pakilagay k	ung	gaano katindi ang hir	ap na	inyong narana	isan	noong NAKALIPAS NA LINGGO
A16.	Mabibigat na gawai 🗆 Wala		ahay (paglipat Bahagya	-	nga kahon, pagbunot Katamtaman	-	iig) Matindi		Napakatindi
A17. I	Magagaang gawaing- □ Wala		ay (pagluluto, p Bahagya		upunas o pagpalis ng Katamtaman		ok at iba pa) Matindi		Napakatindi
May k ng nai		imus p sa	sunod na katan inyong tuhod s		an sa inyong mas aktil I AKALIPAS NA LINGC		bisikal na gawa	in. ll	patay ninyo ang mga sagot sa tindi
	□ Wala		Bahagya		Katamtaman		Matindi		Napakatindi
SP2.	Pagtakbo □ Wala		Bahagya		Katamtaman		Matindi		Napakatindi
SP3.	Pagtalon □ Wala		Bahagya		Katamtaman		Matindi		Napakatindi
SP4.	Pag-ikot gamit ang □ Wala		diprensiyang t Bahagya		d Katamtaman		Matindi		Napakatindi
SP5. F	Pagluhod □ Wala		Bahagya		Katamtaman		Matindi		Napakatindi
Kalidad ng Buhay Q1. Gaano kadalas ninyo napapansin ang problema sa tuhod? □ Hindi kahit kalian □ Buwanan □ □ Araw-araw □ Palagian									
Q2.	Iniba mo na ba ang □Hindi Iniba □Sobrang Iniba	iyon □ □		ng l			iing maaring m ng Pag-iiba	aka	pinsala sa iyong tuhod?
Q3.	Gaano ka nag-aalala □ Wala		paghina ng iny Bahagya	-	tuhod? Katamtaman		Matindi		Napakatindi
Q4.	Sa pangkalahatan, g □ Wala		o katindi ang h Bahagya		na inyong nararanasa Katamtaman		il sa inyong tuh Matindi		Napakatindi
Maraming salamat sa kompletong pagsagot sa talatanungang ito.									