SYSTEMATIC REVIEW AND META-ANALYSIS

Combination of Excimer Laser and Topical Treatment for Psoriasis: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

Marie Len A. Camaclang,¹ Leah Antoinette M. Caro-Chang¹ and Ma. Lorna F. Frez²

¹Section of Dermatology, Department of Medicine, Philippine General Hospital, University of the Philippines Manila ²Section of Dermatology, Department of Medicine, College of Medicine and Philippine General Hospital, University of the Philippines Manila

ABSTRACT

Objectives. To assess the efficacy and safety of excimer laser in combination with topical standard therapies for treatment of plaque-type psoriasis in comparison to excimer laser alone, standard topical treatment alone, or placebo.

Methods. A literature search using Medline, Cochrane and HERDIN was conducted. Data were analyzed using mean difference at 95% confidence interval, with heterogeneity determined by l² test.

Results. Three articles with total of 130 patients fulfilled the inclusion criteria. Topical treatments studied were vitamin analog (calcipotriol), anthralin (dithranol), and steroid (flumethasone pivalate). A subgroup analysis comparing combination therapy and excimer laser alone showed a greater reduction in pooled PASI score reduction (-2.52; 95% CI: -4.28, -0.77) in the combination group after five to six weeks. There was also a significantly greater reduction in cumulative UVB dose (-3.29; 95% CI: -4.29, -2.30) needed for clearing in the combination group. Pigmentation was the commonly observed adverse event in both groups.

Conclusions. Excimer laser, in combination with topical treatment, is more effective than excimer laser alone, with significantly lower cumulative UVB dose, but the quality of current evidence is low. Long-term controlled trials are warranted to increase our confidence in the estimates of these outcomes.

Key Words: excimer, psoriasis, combination therapy, calcipotriol, dithranol, flumethasone, meta-analysis, systematic review

INTRODUCTION

Psoriasis is a chronic and relapsing condition that affects approximately 2-3% of the world's population.¹ The most common type is plaque psoriasis, and is primarily diagnosed clinically by the presence of erythematous plaques with silvery scales, with a predilection for extensor surfaces such as knees and elbows.² Management is geared towards controlling the disease with different treatment modalities which include topical and systemic medications. Despite having immunosuppressive properties, these interventions are not without adverse effects, especially on extended duration of treatment. Hence, there is a continuing challenge to search for effective and safe treatment options, possibly long-term, that target the underlying immunologic mechanisms of the disease.³

One viable long-term intervention with attributed immunosuppressive properties is phototherapy. Treatment with UV light is commonly employed in various skin disorders and it remains to be one of the safest and one of the least costly interventions for psoriasis.⁴ However, standard units using cabinets or panels do not spare normal, uninvolved skin from UV radiation which can theoretically lead to photoaging and higher incidence of skin cancer.

Poster presented at the 21st Philippine General Hospital (PGH) Annual Research Week, November 7-11, 2016, PGH, Manila, Philippines.

E-poster presented at the 39th Annual Convention of the Philippine Dermatological Society, November 3-5, 2016, EDSA Shangri-La Hotel, Mandaluyong City, Philippines.

Corresponding author: Marie Len A. Camaclang, MD Section of Dermatology Department of Medicine Philippine General Hospital University of the Philippines Manila Taft Avenue, Manila 1000, Philippines Telephone: +632 554 8400 local 5105/5106 Email: len.camaclang@gmail.com

Considering the side effect of whole-body phototherapy, the role of targeted phototherapy, where only psoriatic lesions are irradiated, has been increasingly explored. In a study by Parrish and Jaenicke,⁵ a 300-313 nm spectrum of wavelength was shown to be most significant in the treatment of psoriasis. In a recent systematic review,6 among the targeted UVB phototherapy, the efficacy of excimer laser is 70% compared to 59% for excimer light and 49% for localized NB-UVB. Excimer laser is a type of UVB phototherapy that transmits a beam of light through xenon-chloride gas, with a 308 nm wavelength, using a fiberoptic arm that allows selective skin irradiation of psoriatic plaques.7 The device uses a limited spot diameter of 14-30 mm.³ Excimer lasers act by inhibiting the generation of inflammatory cytokines and by inducing apoptosis of T cells.8 The latter mechanism is attributed to the upregulation or stabilization of p53, an anti-oncogenic molecule.9 Traditional phototherapy requires approximately two to three weekly sessions for three months or a total of 24-36 treatments.¹⁰ Excimer laser as monotherapy, on the other hand, was shown to reduce the range of sessions to approximately 8-12 treatments.¹¹

The therapeutic use of excimer laser in psoriasis was first demonstrated in the 1997 study by Bonis et al.¹² Excimer laser is one of the localized phototherapies recommended by the American Academy of Dermatology for patients with less than 10% affected body surface area.¹³ It has, however, in recent studies, shown to be efficacious even in generalized psoriasis.^{10,14} However, more extensive body involvement entails greater UV exposure. Accumulated cumulative dose plays a crucial role in increasing adverse effects from UV exposure.¹⁵ Studies suggest that combination therapies may provide greater therapeutic benefit by enhancing efficacy and decreasing cumulative dose needed for treatment.14 A plausible mechanism is the greater laser penetration provided by topical therapies by decreasing the high airtissue interface caused by a thickened stratum corneum.¹⁶ Thus, combination therapies further expand the potential of excimer laser for long-term treatment by optimizing efficacy while minimizing side effects. To date, there is no existing published systematic review or meta-analysis exploring combination therapies with excimer laser, despite the growing body of research on their potential therapeutic benefits.

This study assessed the efficacy and safety of excimer laser in combination with topical standard therapies for the treatment of psoriasis in comparison to excimer laser alone, standard topical treatment, or placebo.

METHODS

Selection criteria

Type of studies

Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) comparing combination therapy of excimer laser and topical treatment (corticosteroids, anthralin, vitamin D analogs, tar), with excimer laser as monotherapy, placebo, or standard topical treatment for plaque-type psoriasis were included. No exclusions were made with regard to publication status, sample size, or language. When original articles were written in non-English language, we included them if English-translated articles were available. Duplicates of already-included studies were excluded. Included studies must have outcome measures for clinical efficacy and safety as primary or secondary outcomes.

Types of participants

We included all adult patients who were clinically diagnosed with plaque-type psoriasis. No exclusions were made on duration and severity of condition. Other types of psoriasis (e.g. nail, palmoplantar, pustular, and guttate) were excluded.

Types of interventions

Studies included were trials that compared excimer laser combined with any standard topical treatment for psoriasis (e.g. corticosteroids, anthralin, vitamin D analog, or tar) versus excimer laser alone, standard topical treatment, or placebo. No exclusions were made on the basis of duration of treatment. Trials which used excimer laser combined with more than one standard topical therapy for psoriasis were permitted.

Types of outcome measures

Outcome measures for efficacy included clinical improvement and/or clinical cure at the end of intervention. Clinical improvement refers to reduction in signs namely, erythema, scaling, and/or infiltration of lesions (e.g. mean reduction in PASI). Clinical cure refers to complete clearance of lesions by using objective standard scoring (e.g. PASI).

Outcome measures for safety included adverse events which are any new symptoms during the period of intervention, as reported by the participants or as inquired by the researchers. Safety outcome also included the mean cumulative dose of MED used at the end of study period.

Search strategy

Electronic databases namely Medline, The Cochrane library and HERDIN using relevant terms namely, "psoriasis", "excimer laser," "excimer," "targeted UVB," and "targeted phototherapy," were searched up to May 2016 for reviews and clinical trials. The PROSPERO (International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews) was searched to identify existing reviews. Reference lists of identified studies were also perused for clinical trials and relevant reviews.

Data collection and analysis

Data extraction and management

Studies were selected by consensus of three independent review authors. The full-text articles were

reviewed using a standard eligibility form patterned after the data extraction table from Review Manager (v. 5.3). Data regarding dropouts and funding sources were also recorded. Appraisal of each journal was independently done by two review authors. A third review author was consulted to resolve any discrepancies or disagreements between the two independent authors.

Data synthesis and analysis

Statistical analysis was done using the Review Manager software (version 5.3) provided by The Cochrane Collaboration. Data were pooled using random-effects models and to obtain weighted effect across trials. Metaanalyses were done using the software package Review Manager 5.3 (RevMan 2014). Heterogeneity was visually assessed using the forest plot and objectively determined using the I² statistic.

Quality assessment

Internal validity of included trials was independently assessed by two review authors using the Cochrane risk of bias tool. The tool employed criteria outlined in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Any discrepancies were settled by discussion between the authors, and resolved by a third party. The GRADE approach to assessing quality of evidence was used.¹⁷

RESULTS

A total of 183 records through the electronic searches from The Cochrane Library (n = 25), MEDLINE (n = 158) was obtained. No local trials were identified from HERDIN. The study flow diagram is shown in Figure 1.

Study characteristics

Three randomized controlled trials involving 130 patients clinically diagnosed with stable plaque-type psoriasis were included in this review. The topical treatments used in the studies were vitamin analog (calcipotriol), anthralin (dithranol), and steroid (flumethasone pivalate). The three studies which fulfilled the inclusion criteria are summarized in Table 1. Three of the full-text articles screened were excluded (Table 2). Although all the studies used a combination therapy of excimer laser and topical treatment for psoriasis, they did not qualify mainly because of their study design-one was a case report (Kardorff 2013), while the other was an open, self-control trial (Fritz 2007). One randomized controlled trial was excluded because it used three different treatment protocols in a total of 12 weeks (Levin 2015).

In all studies, the primary outcome was primarily measured by adopting the Psoriasis Area Severity Index (PASI) measured at baseline and at the end of the study period. One study used a modified PASI or the Psoriasis Severity Index (PSI) and excluded Area (A) as part of the scoring because the unit of analysis for this study was each



Figure 1. Study flow diagram of literature selection according to PRISMA.

individual psoriatic lesion (Rogalski 2012). Hence, only the Dong 2012 study and Tang 2014 study had comparable data for the primary outcome available for meta-analysis.

Outcomes reported

Primary outcomes

Table 3 shows summary of primary outcomes for the three included studies. The Rogalski 2012 study used dichotomous outcomes (clinical response divided into partial clearance and total clearance), while the Dong 2012 study used continuous outcome (mean PASI). The Tang 2014 study used both continuous (mean PASI) and dichotomous (clinical efficacy) outcomes in presenting the results.

Clinical improvement: The primary outcome measured in all studies was clinical improvement by documenting reduction in mean PASI scores at the end of the study period from baseline measurement. The Tang 2014 study showed significant difference in PASI scores at the end of 6 weeks between the combination therapy and excimer laser alone. In addition, clinical efficacy of >60% to >95% was considered effective. For the Rogalski 2012 study which used modified PASI scoring (PSI), combination therapy showed significant difference compared to topical treatment alone. In both studies, combination therapy showed significant response at the end of the study duration, with combination of dithranol and excimer laser having slightly better response in one trial (Rogalski 2012).

Table 1. Characteristics of included studies using the PICOM^a format

	Tang 2014	Dong 2012	Rogalski 2012
Participants			
Total	36	40	54
Age	20-60 yrs (32.56 / 10.48)	22-60 years	23-77 (45.6 / 10.7)
Gender	21 males 15 females	21 males 19 females	38 males 16 females
Diagnosis	Plaque psoriasis <30% BSA	Plaque psoriasis Mild-mod <50% BSA	Plaque psoriasis PASI 1.2 or higher in all plaques (total of >=6)
Country	China	China	Germany
Intervention	excimer laser 2x/week + calcipotriene ointment (0.005%) BID	excimer laser 2x/week + flumethasone (flumethasone pivalate 0.2mg+ SA 30mg) BID	1st: excimer laser 2x/week cacipotriol 3% ointment BID 2nd: + excimer laser 2x/week + dithranol ointment 3% OD + SA 5%
Comparator	excimer laser 2x/week	excimer laser 2x/week	3 rd : 3% calcipotriol BID 4 th : dithranol OD
Outcome/s	PASI score difference # of irradiation Cumulative dose Side effects	PASI score difference Cumulative dose Side effects recurrence rate Patient-reported outcome on treatment efficacy and degree of satisfaction (excellent, good, moderate, poor) - no data	Modified PASI score
Duration	6 weeks	5 weeks	8 weeks
Assessment timing	After 2, 4 and 6 weeks	After 10 treatments or 5 weeks	After 8 weeks
Follow up	÷	at 3 and 6 months after completion	At 4 and 6 months after completion
Methods/Design	RCT, open and parallel self-control	RCT	RCT, self-control
Remarks	Supported by Pharmaceutical company	Supported by Pharmaceutical company	With research grant

^{*a*} Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcome, Methods

Table 2. Characteristics of excluded studies

	Kardoff 2003	Fritz 2007	Levin 2015
Design	RCT, self-control	Open trial, self-control	
Participants	8	36	30
	Plaque-type	Mod-severe	10-20% BSA
		Plaque-type	Plaque-type
Intervention	1. Calcipotriol BID + Excimer	1. Calcipotriol ointment BID	Duration: 12 weeks
	2. Excimer	2. Excimer + Vaseline	1. Clob spray on 1 st 4 weeks
			2. Calcipotriol ointment
			3. Calcipotriol + Clob +excimer wk 9-12
Outcome	Modified PASI (PSI)	PASI	PASI
	# of sessions		PGA
			DLQI/Koo-Menter Psoriasis Assessment
Reason for exclusion	Only presented a case report	Not an RCT	Study used two treatment protocols with combination therapy consecutively

Table 3. Primary outcomes of included studies with combination therapy of excimer laser and standard topical treatment as intervention

	Tang 2014	Dong 2012	Rogalski 2012ª
Study duration	6 weeks	5 weeks	8 weeks
Clinical Improvement	Mean PASI reduction	Mean PASI reduction ^c	'Partial clearance' (PSI of > 2)
	'Clinical efficacy' ^b		% Reduction of PSI
Clinical Cure	Not stated	Not stated	'Total clearance' (PSI of 0)

^a Used dichotomous outcomes (frequency and percentage distribution);

^b Clinical efficacy measured as total number of 'effective treatment' (>60%-95% decrease in PASI) and 'recovery' (>95% decrease in PASI)

^c Used direct and polarized light photographs

Clinical cure: In the Rogalski 2012 study, clinical cure was defined as a total clearance or modified PASI score of 0 at the end of 8 weeks. The groups with combination of topical treatment and excimer laser had total clearance

(6.8% with calcipotriol and 10.2% with dithranol) while the groups without laser treatment failed to achieve total clearance at the end of 8 weeks. In another study (Tang 2014 study), PASI reduction of >95% from baseline was regarded as recovery. The combination laser and topical treatment group showed significantly greater efficacy (84.37%) compared to control group (56.25%). No data was given on the number and proportion who achieved PASI of 0 at the end of the study.

Secondary outcomes

Cumulative dose: Data for cumulative UVB dose were present in two studies (Tang 2014, Dong 2012) which showed significantly lower mean for the treatment group compared to laser group. Only the total average cumulative UVB dose was presented in the study by Rogalski (2012), and no categorization was done for each group receiving excimer laser.

Adverse events: Two of the included studies (Tang 2014, Dong 2012) showed data on adverse events. However, only the latter study compared adverse events in the treatment and control group whereas the former narrated the total side effects, wherein 6/32 (18.8%) showed adverse events during the study. Pigmentation was commonly observed in both studies. In the Dong 2012 study, pigmentation was observed after five sessions in most areas, occurring earlier in combination treatment, which diminished with follow up at 6 months after treatment. Burning sensation. erythema, pruritus, and blister formation were the other reported side effects in decreasing order. Blister formation was noted to be temporary and in the trial by Dong 2012 study, disappeared after 3-5 days, and only occurred in the group receiving excimer laser alone.

Pooled analyses

Two studies were included in the meta-analysis (Dong 2012, Tang 2014). These studies compared combination therapy and excimer laser alone. There was a greater

reduction in pooled PASI score -2.52 (95% CI: -4.28, -0.77) with large effect size in the combination therapy group compared to excimer laser alone at the end of the study period (Figure 2). Due to high heterogeneity, the random-effect model was the chosen effect measure used and illustrated. The high heterogeneity ($I^2 = 74\%$) suggests that the intervention may not consistently have a large effect.

Safety of the intervention was primarily measured by cumulative UVB dose. There was also a significantly greater reduction in cumulative UVB dose score -3.29 (95% CI: -4.29, -2.30) with large effect size in the combination therapy group compared to excimer laser alone at the end of the study period. In contrast to the first outcome, there was low heterogeneity ($I^2 = 24\%$) for the pooled mean of cumulative UVB dose (Figure 3).

Risk of Bias and GRADE

None of the included trials were of low risk of bias. Based on risk of bias assessment following the criteria by the Cochrane Collaboration, the trials were of moderatehigh risk of bias (Figures 4 and 5). In terms of reported outcomes, the quality of evidence was further assessed by exploring inconsistency, indirectness, and imprecision as outlined in the GRADEpro software¹⁷ (Tables 4 and 5).

DISCUSSION

The topical treatments used in the studies were vitamin analog (calcipotriol), anthralin (dithranol), and steroid (flumethasone pivalate). Calcipotriol is a synthetic vitamin D3 analog, anthralin is an anthracene derivative while flumethasone is a moderately potent difluorinated diglucocorticoid. The immunosuppressive and anti-proliferative properties of these topical treatments

	Combina	ation the	rapy	Excir	mer la:	ser		Mean Difference		Mean	Differe	nce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, Ran	dom, 9	5% CI	
Dong 2012	2.66	2.06	20	4.09	3.31	20	40.2%	-1.43 [-3.14, 0.28]			+		
Tang 2014	1.82	0.74	32	5.08	1.65	32	59.8%	-3.26 [-3.89, -2.63]	-	-			
Total (95% CI)			52			52	100.0%	-2.52 [-4.28, -0.77]	-				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1 Test for overall effect: 2			1	0.05); P	² = 749	6			-4	-2 Combination		2 imer lase	4 4

Figure 2. Forest plot of comparison of combination therapy versus excimer laser alone for outcome: PASI score at end of intervention.

	Combina	ation the	rapy	Excir	mer la:	ser		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Dong 2012	5.06	2.2	20	7.75	2.25	20	41.4%	-2.69 [-4.07, -1.31]	
Tang 2014	4.69	2.03	32	8.41	2.42	32	58.6%	-3.72 [-4.81, -2.63]	
Total (95% CI)			52			52	100.0%	-3.29 [-4.29, -2.30]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0 Test for overall effect: 2		-		0.25); l	² = 24%	6			-4 -2 0 2 4 Combination Excimer Laser

Figure 3. Forest plot of comparison of combination therapy versus excimer laser alone for the outcome: Cumulative UVB dose.





Figure 4. Risk of bias graph: review authors' judgments about each risk of bias item for each included study.



Table 4. Summary of findings

Combination therapy (excimer laser and topical standard treatment) compared with excimer laser therapy alone for psoriasis Patient or population: Adult patients with plaque psoriasis

Intervention excimer laser in combination with topical standard therapies for the treatment of psoriasis **Comparison: excimer laser alone**, standard topical treatment for psoriasis or placebo.

	Illustrative comp	arative risks* (95% CI)	Deletive offerst		Quality of the	
Outcomes	Assumed risk [excimer laser]	Corresponding risk [combination]	Relative effect (95% Cl)	No of participants (studies)	Quality of the evidence (GRADE)	Comments
Cumulative UVB Dose	The mean cumulative UVB dose ranged across control groups from 8.08 J/cm ²	The mean cumulative UVB dose in the intervention groups was 3.29 lower (2.30-4.29 lower)		[52] (2 studies)		
Post-PASI	The mean post- PASI score ranged across control groups from 4.59.	The mean post-PASI score in the intervention groups was 2.52 lower (0.77 to 4.28 lower]		[52] (2 studies)	⊕⊕⊕⊖ moderate	

* CI: Confidence interval

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence: High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect. Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate. Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate. Very low quality: We are very uncertain about the estimate.

contribute to their long-term, well-established efficacy and hence, they are regarded as standard topical therapies for psoriasis. They however, possess significant varying side effects that limit extended use such as skin atrophy (steroid), staining (dithranol), and irritant dermatitis (dithranol and calcipotriol).

When compared to excimer laser alone, this review found greater efficacy in the combination group in terms of PASI reduction in 5-6 weeks of treatment. However, the pooled analysis showed insignificant difference with high degree of heterogeneity. Possible causes of heterogeneity may be attributed to the differences in outcome detection. In the Tang 2014 study, which showed significant difference between the two groups at the end of the treatment, a selfcontrol design was employed which would imply lesser variations. On the contrary, the Dong 2012 study had different sets of participants for each group.

In addition, the large effect of combination therapy compared to excimer laser alone cannot be overviewed. The topical treatments enhance the efficacy of excimer laser by potentiating greater penetration of UV light, thereby resulting to a more effective immune system suppression by inducing T cell apoptosis.¹⁶ However, considering the low number of total participants and the limited number of available randomized controlled trials, this systematic review may not have the statistical power to detect

Studies
_
servationa
qo
on on
tractio
ы
Data
ive
alitat
Qui
Table 5.

			Ouality assessment	ssment			No. of patients			Effect	Ouality
No. of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Inconsistency Indirectness Imprecision	Imprecision	Other considerations	Combination therapy (excimer laser and topical standard treatment)	Excimer laser alone	Relative (95% Cl)	Absolute (95% CI)	
Cumula	Cumulative UVB dose										
7	randomized trials serious ^{1,2} not serious ³ serious	serious 1,2	not serious ³	serious ⁴	serious ^{5,6}	strong association ⁷	52	52	1	MD 3.29 J/cm lower (4.29 lower to 2.3 lower)	00⊕⊕ ∾ol
Post-P/	Post-PASI (assessed with: PASI scoring; Scale from: 0 to 72)	ASI scoring	; Scale from: 0 t	to 72)							
7	randomized trials serious $^{1.2}$ not serious 3 not serious	serious 1,2	not serious ³		serious ^{5,6}	strong association ⁷	52	52		MD 2.52 lower (4.28 lower to 0.77 lower)	⊕⊕⊕O moderate
CI: Conf	Cl: Confidence interval; MD: Mean difference	Mean differ	ence	and done	a in official						

Both studies have low and unclear risk of bias likely to lower confidence in effect
 High risk of bias for one criteria (afferent topical treatments used in the studies were provided by pharmaceutical companies)
 High heterogeneity and large variation may be explained by difference in study design, intervention (e.g. different topical treatments) and conduct of studies
 Cumulative UVB dose may be a poor surrogate outcome for safety of use
 Wide confidence interval
 Small number of events
 Large effect estimates

significant difference. Moreover, despite these studies being randomized controlled trials, the high risk of bias is likely to further lower our confidence in its effect.

Safety of the intervention was primarily measured by cumulative UVB dose. There was statistically significant difference between the combination group and excimer laser alone group in the mean cumulative UVB dose at the end of treatment (3.29 fewer (95% CI 4.29 to 2.30). Both groups received excimer laser twice a week for a total of five to six weeks. The synergistic effect of the topical therapies in terms of anti-proliferation and anti-inflammation reduce the needed intensity of UVB to induce effect, resulting to fewer side effects.18 Moreover, the shortened treatment duration seen in the combination group (5 to 6 weeks) compared to traditional phototherapy, which usually takes 24 to 36 treatments (12 weeks), implies a lesser long-term cumulative UVB dose. In a 2014 cross-sectional study among psoriasis patients who underwent UVB therapy of at least 100 times in the last five years, the risk of skin cancer correlated with the number of treatments.¹⁹ However, despite this finding, cumulative UVB dose may remain a poor surrogate outcome of safety as the over-all risk of skin cancer among the treated patients was not greater than the general population. In terms of adverse events, erythema and blisters were common adverse events seen in localized phototherapy,6 but pigmentation was more commonly observed in this study.

Patient-reported outcomes such as cost and quality of life are important outcomes not reported in these trials. Symptoms (e.g. pain, pruritus) were reported under adverse events. However, adverse events as an outcome was only described in two studies (Tang 2014, Dong 2012) and the reporting varied across trials. Thus, subgroup analysis was not done. The number of skin irradiation could be a surrogate outcome for health cost. However, we did not draw any conclusion on the number of skin irradiation because only one study reported this as an outcome.

All of the trials included patients with psoriasis involving a maximum of 50% BSA. Results, therefore, cannot be generalized to patients suffering from more severe and widespread forms of psoriasis, which would require longer duration of treatment. Despite the growing evidence of excimer laser application in generalized psoriasis, randomized controlled trials on these patients are lacking. In terms of population, majority of the participants in this review were Chinese. Although belonging to Asian descent, the general skin type may have a confounding effect if applied to local setting where a general proportion have skin types III-IV.

The objectives of this systematic review have been addressed primarily by using tools from a clinician's standpoint. Efficacy may also be addressed by using patientreported outcomes, which in this case, was lacking. In terms of safety, cumulative UVB dose may be an unreliable surrogate outcome provided that the studies had limited duration. Patient-reported outcomes of symptoms and of adverse events may be of more benefit for optimal decision-making and future research.

CONCLUSION

Implication for practice

The efficacy of excimer laser in combination with topical treatment significantly reduces the PASI score compared to excimer laser alone in 5 to 6 weeks, but the quality of current evidence is low. Combination therapy may be associated with lowered cumulative UVB dose; that is, there is significantly lower cumulative UVB dose in the combination therapy compared to excimer laser alone, but its correlation with safety cannot be deduced. Further research using long-term randomized controlled trials is necessary to increase our confidence in the estimate of effect for these outcomes.

Implication for research

Future studies should be controlled trials with adequate methods of randomization and allocation concealment. Because severity scoring such as PASI mainly depends on the clinician's standpoint, these trials must employ blinding of outcome assessors. Within-patient analyses may be considered to allow lesser variation and greater statistical power if a large sample size cannot be obtained. A long duration of study which include follow-up and looking at recurrence rates are of benefit in assessing efficacy and safety of the intervention. A standardized protocol for using excimer laser (e.g. initial starting dose, site of initial test site, adjustment principle) to reach endpoints must be clearly described and utilized to limit confounding factors that may affect measurements of cumulative UVB dose. PASI presented as a dichotomous outcome (e.g. >75% reduction from baseline) may be more informative and of greater clinical use instead of continuous outcome (mean PASI); in addition, patient-reported outcomes including quality of life and symptoms (e.g. pain) must be emphasized and reported in future trials.

Statement of Authorship

All authors approved the final version submitted.

Author Disclosure

All authors declared no conflict of interest.

Funding Source

This paper was funded by the authors. No external funding agency.

REFERENCES

- Kurd SK, Gelfand JM. The prevalence of previously diagnosed and undiagnosed psoriasis in US adults: results from NHANES 2003-2004. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2009;60(2):218-24. doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2008.09.022.
- 2. Rogalski C, Grunewald S, Schetschorke M, Bodendorf MO, Kauer F, Simon JC, Paasch U. Treatment of plaque-type psoriasis

with the 308 nm excimer laser in combination with dithranol or calcipotriol. Int J Hyperthermia. 2012;28(2):184-90. doi: 10.3109/02656736.2011.647942.

- 3. Bhutani T, Koo J. The excimer laser for psoriasis: A review of safety and efficacy. Practical Dermatology, 2010;31-6.
- Hamzavi I, Kerr H. Phototherapy and Laser for the Treatment of Mild-to-Moderate Psoriasis. Mild-to-Moderate Psoriasis, Second Edition. 2008;103–28.
- Parrish JA, Jaenicke KF. Action Spectrum for Phototherapy of Psoriasis. J Invest Dermatol. 1981;76(5):359-62.
- Almutawa F, Thalib L, Hekman D, Sun Q, Hamzavi I, Lim HW. Efficacy of localized phototherapy and photodynamic therapy for psoriasis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Photodermatol Photoimmunol Photomed. 2015;31(1):5-14. doi: 10.1111/phpp.12092
- Hong J, Malick F, Sivanesan P, Koo J. Expanding the use of the 308 nm excimer laser for treatment of psoriasis. Pract Dermatol (Suppl). 2007; S13-6.
- Tang YJ, Xu WW, Liu XM, Zhang RZ, Xu CX, Xu B, Cheng S, Liu Q. Self-control study of combination treatment of 308 nm excimer laser and calcipotriene ointment on stable psoriasis vulgaris Int J Clin Exp Med. 2014 15;7(9):2844-50.
- Bianchi B, Campolmi P, Mavilia L, Danesi A, Rossi R, Cappugi P. Monochromatic excimer light (308 nm): an immunohistochemical study of cutaneous T cells and apoptosis-related molecules in psoriasis. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2003;17(4):408-13.
- Gattu S, Pang ML, Pugashetti R, Malick F, Hong J, Bowers E, et al. Pilot evaluation of supra-erythemogenic phototherapy with excimer laser in the treatment of patients with moderate to severe plaque psoriasis. J Dermatolog Treat. 2010;21(1):54-60. doi: 10.3109/09546630903203756.

- 11. Kardorff B. Honig d'Orville, I, Elections M, Kardorff M, Dorittke, P. Combination therapy of psoriasis vulgaris with the 308nm excimer laser and calcipotriol: Preliminary review. Current Dermatology. 2003;29(6):236-239.
- Bonis B, Kemény L, Dobozy A, Bor Z, Szabó G, Ignacz F. 308 nm UVB excimer laser for psoriasis. The Lancet. 1997;350(9090):1522.
- Menter A, Korman NJ, Elmets CA, Feldman SR, Gelfand JM, Gordon KB. Guidelines of care for treatment of psoriasis with phototherapy and photochemotherapy. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2010;62(1):114-35.
- Debbaneh MG, Levin E, Sanchez Rodriguez R, Leon A, Koo J, Rosenblum MD. Plaque-based sub-blistering dosimetry: Reaching PASI-75 after two treatments with 308-nm excimer laser in a generalized psoriasis patient. J Dermatolog Treat. 2015;26(1):45-8. doi: 10.3109/09546634.2013.879094.
- 15. Lavker RM, Gerberick G, Veres D, Irwin CJ, Kaidbey KH. Cumulative effects from repeated exposures to suberythemal doses of UVB and UVA in human skin. J Am Acad Dermatol. 1995;32(1):53-62.
- Asawanonda P, Anderson RR, Chang Y, Taylor CR. 308-nm excimer laser for the treatment of psoriasis: a dose-response study. Arch Dermatol. 2000;136(5):619-24.
- 17. Cochrane Training [Internet]. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions | Cochrane Training. [cited 2016May11]. Available from: https://training.cochrane.org/grade-approach
- Dong J, He Y, Zhang X, Wang Y, Tian Y, Wang J. Clinical efficacy of flumetasone/salicylic acid ointment combined with 308-nm excimer laser for treatment of psoriasis vulgaris. Photodermatol Photoimmunol Photomed. 2012;28(3):133-6. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0781.2012.00654.x.
- Osmancevic A, Gillstedt M, Wennberg A, Larkö O. The Risk of Skin Cancer in Psoriasis Patients Treated with UVB Therapy. Acta Dermato Venereologica. 2014;94(4):425–30.

The Acta Medica Philippina is now accepting original scientific papers, review articles and case reports for its upcoming issues. Please follow the format for submission as indicated in the "Instructions to Authors" elsewhere in the journal. All papers received shall be properly acknowledged. For inquiries and submission of proposals, please e-mail us at actamedicaphilippina@yahoo.com