Preliminary Screening for Microsatellite Instability and Loss of Heterozygosity in the *Deleted In Colorectal Cancer* (*DCC*) Gene among Filipino Patients with Colorectal Adenocarcinoma

Michael Ernesto S. Arnante, 1,2 Ma. Luisa D. Enriquez, 1,3 Ernelea P. Cao² and Filipinas F. Natividad¹

¹Molecular Cytogenetics Laboratory, Research and Biotechnology, St. Luke's Medical Center, Quezon City, Philippines ²Institute of Biology, University of the Philippines Diliman, Quezon City, Philippines ³Department of Biology / Center for Natural Sciences and Ecological Research, De La Salle University, Manila, Philippines

ABSTRACT

Objective. This study aimed to detect the presence of microsatellite instability (MSI) and loss of heterozygosity (LOH) of the Deleted in Colorectal Cancer (*DCC*) gene in normal and tumor tissues of Filipino colorectal cancer patients and examine its correlation with age, gender, tumor grade, tumor stage and site of lesion.

Methods. Paired frozen normal and tumor tissues from thirtynine (39) patients with colorectal adenocarcinoma were used in this study. Genomic DNA was extracted and amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Single strand conformation polymorphism - polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SSCP -PAGE) was used to determine MSI and restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) was used to study LOH.

Results. Based on our data, out of the 39 patients, 10 showed LOH of the *DCC* gene using the LOH markers VNTR, M2 and M3, while no MSI was detected in the samples using the MSI markers BAT25 and BAT26. Correlation with clinicopathological characteristics showed that there is significance for the site of lesion. The LOH has correlation with tumor samples from the colon but not with those from the rectum.

Conclusion. Preliminary screening for MSI and LOH of the *DCC* gene shows that occurrence of colorectal cancer among Filipino patients can be correlated with LOH of the *DCC* gene but not with MSI. This may be the first study to examine the correlation or lack thereof of MSI and LOH of the *DCC* gene with colorectal cancer in a Filipino sample population.

Key Words: DCC gene, loss of heterozygosity, microsatellite instability, colorectal cancer

Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) development is marked by the growth of a benign precursor lesion from which a small percentage will progress into a carcinoma. Genetic alterations underlying the adenoma to carcinoma transition have been extensively studied over the years.¹⁻³ In 1990, Fearon and Vogelstein proposed a genetic model for colorectal carcinogenesis in which they describe the event as a multi-step accumulation of mutational activation of oncogenes coupled with the inactivation of tumor suppressor genes driving the transformation from normal mucosa to adenoma to carcinoma. These genetic alterations eventually result in uninhibited cell growth, proliferation and tumor development.⁴ In the case of CRCs, two distinct genetic alterations are commonly observed; the first is microsatellite instability, which is detected in about 15% of all colorectal cancers, and the second involves chromosomal instability and loss of heterozygosity, which comprises almost 60-70% of the reported cases.⁵

Microsatellite instability (MSI) is defined as an alteration in the length of a microsatellite sequence within tumor DNA when compared with normal DNA from an individual.6 As a consequence of mispairings, insertions or deletions, MSI arises from changes in the number of units of mono-, di-, and trinucleotide DNA repeats which, due to their repetitive nature, are prone to errors during replication. Because this type of alteration can be detected only if many cells are affected by the same change in length, it is an indicator of the clonal expansion that is typical of a neoplasm.7 On the other hand, LOH refers to a change from a state of heterozygosity in a normal genome to a homozygous state in a paired tumor genome.⁸ It is usually described in the context of oncogenesis, where a mutational inactivation occurs in one of the allele of a tumor suppressor gene that is passed on to the zygote resulting in an offspring that is heterozygous for that allele, thus, the remaining functional allele in a somatic cell of the offspring becomes inactivated by mutation. It then results in nonproduction of tumor suppressor proteins leading to tumorigenesis. LOH has been shown to mark the site of tumor suppressor genes.9

Presented as a poster at the 16th Asia-Pacific International Molecular Biology Network (A-IMBN) Annual Conference, December 3-5, 2013, Biopolis, Singapore.

Corresponding author: Michael Ernesto S. Arnante, MSc Molecular Cytogenetics Laboratory Research and Biotechnology Division St. Luke's Medical Center 279 E. Rodriguez Sr. Blvd, Quezon City 1102 Philippines Telephone: +632 7275540 Email: mesarnante@gmail.com

Chromosome 18q is among the regions that harbor a tumor suppressor gene that is frequently inactivated by loss of heterozygosity during the development of several cancer types, including those of the gastrointestinal tract. In addition, colorectal cancers with 18q LOH have been shown to have a more aggressive clinical behavior than those without 18q LOH.¹⁰ The DCC gene was the first candidate tumor suppressor gene, identified in chromosome 18q.11 While the DCC gene is expressed in most normal tissues, including colonic mucosa, its expression was greatly reduced or absent in most colorectal cancers tested. This was the first of several studies which implicated the DCC gene in the pathogenesis of colorectal cancer. At present, the DCC gene's exact mechanism of action in colorectal cancer is still unknown. Much of the reported data on loss and inactivation of the DCC gene is circumstantial.¹² The traditional pathway suggested by Fearon and Vogelstein in 1990 states that the deletion 18q where the DCC gene is located mediates the transformation from early adenoma to late adenoma by interfering with apoptosis, allowing accumulation of mutations that hinders cell-cycle arrest or programmed cell death. Early studies have shown that the absence of DCC in tumors is linked to poor survival among patients with colorectal cancer.13 In contrast, later studies showed that molecular genetic changes do not appear to be useful prognostic markers in colorectal cancers.14

This study aims to provide additional information regarding the *DCC* gene's role in colorectal carcinogenesis, not only as a sole gene acting on cellular transformation from early adenoma to late adenoma but also as a part of a whole plethora of mutations occurring in tumorigenesis. It also aims to describe the molecular events that bring about the causes and progression of colorectal cancer in the context of Fearon and Vogelstein's model, with emphasis on the role of the *DCC* gene in colorectal adenocarcinoma formation. An updated assessment of the *DCC* gene in colorectal tumors is timely in order to further clarify the theories on its exact role in colorectal cancer development.

Materials and Methods

Sample Collection

Paired frozen normal and tumor tissues from 39 previously identified colorectal cancer patients who underwent surgical resection from 2000 to 2010 at St. Luke's Medical Center (SLMC), a tertiary hospital in the Philippines, were used in the study. Patients whose samples were used in this study have agreed to participate by previously signing consent forms before undergoing surgery. An information sheet and questionnaire were also given to them upon recruitment. All pertinent information such as age, gender and family history, among others, were entered in a databank. From this databank, all patient data including pathological information such as tumor stage, grade and

surgical site were obtained for correlation and statistical analysis.

This protocol is under the umbrella project of SLMC entitled "A Molecular and Cytogenetic Study of Colorectal Cancer in the Philippine Population." This was reviewed by the Institutional Ethics Review Committee of SLMC (Reg. No. 07-033, Acc. No. 13-004).

DNA Extraction

All samples were previously stored in a -80°C biofreezer. These were thawed at room temperature prior to processing. DNA extraction was carried out using QIAamp® DNA Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, a lysis solution was used to disrupt the cell membrane to release DNA. Proteinase K was also added to the tissues to facilitate protein degradation. Tissue samples were incubated at 56°C for one to three hours or until tissue was completely lysed. Ethanol was added to precipitate the isolated DNA. Wash buffers were added separately to remove residual contaminants on the isolated genomic DNA. Purified genomic DNA was then eluted from the column. DNA quality and quantity were assessed using UV spectrophotometry. A 50 ng/µL of DNA served as working solution for PCR.

Microsatellite Instability

Analysis for MSI was performed using a modified procedure by Loukola *et al.*¹⁵ Two sets of primers from the Bethesda panel of markers were used, BAT25 and BAT26. They were chosen because they are the best-known mononucleotide markers for MSI testing.¹⁶ These markers can identify MSI even when the tumor content of a sample is as low as 5-10%. PCR reactions were carried out in a 25µl reaction volume containing 50 ng of genomic DNA, 1x PCR buffer, 250 µM each deoxynucleotide triphosphate (dNTPs), 0.5 µM each primer, and 1 unit of Taq polymerase. Optimized MgCl₂ concentrations for BAT25 and for BAT26 were 2.5 mM and 2.75 mM, respectively. PCR was performed under the following conditions:

- For BAT25, initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 min, and 28 cycles of 95°C for 1 min, 56°C for 45 sec and 72°C for 45 sec and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min.
- For BAT26, the PCR condition involved initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 min and 32 cycles of 95°C for 45 sec, 55°C for 1 min and 72°C for 30 sec and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. Single Strand Conformation Polymorphism-Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis (SSCP–PAGE) was performed to observe target bands.

Gels were photographed under white light after staining. Microsatellite instability was demonstrated by variation of band sizes of PCR products of tumor samples in comparison with normal samples.

Loss of Heterozygosity (LOH)

Analysis for LOH was performed using the modified procedure by Gao *et al*¹⁷ and Akkiprik *et al*.¹⁸ PCR reactions were carried out in a 25µl reaction volume containing 50 ng of genomic DNA, 1x PCR buffer, 250 µM each of dNTPs, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 µM each primer, and 1 unit of Taq polymerase. To check for cross contamination, a sample without template DNA and only having distilled water served as the negative control. PCR reactions were run using the following conditions: 5 min of initial denaturation at 95°C, 35 cycles of 95°C for 1 min denaturation, 54°C for 45 sec for annealing and 72°C for 30 sec extension, and 5 minutes of final extension at 72°C.

Three markers were used to determine LOH. These were the variable number tandem repeat (VNTR) region of chromosome 18, and the M2 and M3 sites of intron 5 of *DCC*. For the VNTR region, PCR products were separated directly on 2.5% agarose gels. For the M2 and M3 polymorphisms, 7µl of PCR products were mixed with 10U of the restriction

enzyme MspI and incubated at 37°C overnight. These were separated on 2% agarose gels.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis using SPSS (ver.17.0) was performed for all categorical variables namely: gender (male vs. female), age (>50 vs. <50), site of lesion (colon vs. rectum), histological grade (1, 2, 3 or 4), stage (1, 2, 3 or 4), MSI (microsatellitestable or MSS, microsatellite-low or MSI-L, microsatellitehigh or MSI-H) and DCC LOH (negative vs. positive). Fisher's exact tests were used for calculation. Results were considered statistically significant when the two-sided p value is less than 0.05.

Results

Clinicopathological data acquired from the Colorectal Cancer Databank at SLMC are summarized in Table 1. Two patients with no clinicopathological data were excluded in the statistical analysis.

Table 1. Summary of clinicopathological characteristics and presence of MSI and LOH.

	Field #	Sex	Age	Grade	Stage	Site of Lesion	MSI		LOH		
							BAT25	BAT26	VNTR	M2	M3
1	320	М	63	2	3	colon	no	no		het	LOH
2	342	F	55	2	4	rectum	no	no		hom	hom
3	220	М	80	2	2	colon	no	no	no	het	hom
4	260	Μ	69	2	2	colon	no	no	no	hom	hom
5	436	Μ	47	2	2	rectum	no	no	no	het	hom
6	402	F	73	2	2	colon	no	no	no	hom	hom
7	268	М	78	2	2	colon	no	no	no	hom	hom
8	355	М	59	2	3	rectum	no	no	no	het	hom
9	369	М	54	2	2	colon	no	no	no	hom	LOH
10	413						no	no	no	het	LOH
11	426	М	52	2	3	rectum	no	no	no	hom	hom
12	326	М	67	2	2	colon	no	no	no	hom	LOH
13	365	F	62	2	3	colon	no	no	no	hom	hom
14	421	М	58	2	3	colon	no	no	no		hom
15	329	Μ	59	2	2	rectum	no	no	no		hom
16	349	F	72	2	3	colon	no	no	no		hom
17	428	F	29	4	3	colon	no	no	LOH	hom	LOH
18	309	F	37	1	3	rectum	no	no	no	hom	hom
19	417						no	no	no	het	hom
20	449	F	54	2	3	rectum	no	no	no	hom	hom
21	454	F	52	2	1	colon	no	no	no	hom	hom
22	444	М	47	4	3	colon	no	no	no	het	LOH
23	368	F	40	2	2	colon	no	no	LOH	het	hom
24	203	F	72	1	3	colon	no	no	no	het	het
25	507	Μ	76	2	3	rectum	no	no	no	het	hom
26	508	М	52	4	3	colon	no	no	no	het	hom
27	517	М	59	4	2	colon	no	no	LOH	hom	hom
28	569	М	82	2		colon	no	no	no	LOH	hom
29	523	М	74	4	1	colon	no	no	no	hom	hom
30	522	М	63	4	2	colon	no	no	no	hom	hom
31	519	F	58	2	4	colon	no	no	no	het	hom
32	518	F	72	4	4	rectum	no	no	no	hom	hom
33	509	М	48			rectum	no	no	no	het	hom
34	566	М	24	1		rectum	no	no	no	het	hom
35	560	М	54		3	rectum	no	no	no	het	hom
36	529	F	53	2	3	colon	no	no	no	het	het
37	525	М	30			colon	no	no	no	het	hom
38	570	М	58	2		colon	no	no	LOH	het	hom
39	574	М	83				no	no	no	hom	hom

Legend: LOH - presence of loss of heterozygosity; het - heterozygous for the corresponding marker; hom - homozygous for the corresponding marker



Figure 1. Representative gels for MSI. A: BAT25 B: BAT26. Legend: N: normal tissue, T: tumor tissue, M: molecular marker ladder.

For MSI testing, change in the band size between the normal and tumor samples indicates positive microsatellite instability. None of the paired samples in our study showed microsatellite instability for the two markers. For BAT25, the standard two target bands (200 bp and 350 bp) were observed in both normal and tumor samples (Figure 1a). For BAT26, the standard two target bands (200 bp and 250 bp) were also observed in both normal and tumor samples (Figure 2b).



Figure 2. Representative gel for VNTR. Legend: M: molecular marker ladder, N: normal tissue, T: tumor tissue

For LOH, three known sites were observed for analysis. These were the VNTR, M2 and M3 sites. For the VNTR sites, several bands were observed ranging from sizes 160 – 200 bp. Each patient had a different number of bands for the VNTR site. Loss of one or more of these bands in the tumor sample indicated presence of LOH (Lanes 2-3, Figure 2). Absence of LOH is shown when the normal and tumor sample had no change in resulting bands (Lanes 4-5, Figure 2).

For the M2 site, a homozygous sample revealed either a single band at 396 bp (Figure 3, M2-A) or two bands at 257



Figure 3. Representative gels for M2 AND M3 polymorphism. Legend: N: normal tissue, T: tumor tissue

bp and 139 bp (Figure 3, M2-B). A heterozygous sample showed presence of all three bands (Figure 3, M2-C). Loss of the two bands at 257 bp and 139 bp was seen in the tumor sample while the normal counterpart showed heterozygosity (Figure 3, M2-D).

For the M3 site, a homozygous sample revealed a single band at 240 bp (Figure 3, M3-A). A heterozygous sample showed presence of three bands at 240 bp, 137 bp and 103 bp (Figure 3, M3-B). Loss of the two bands at 137 bp and 103 bp was seen in the tumor sample while the normal counterpart showed heterozygosity (Figure 3, M3-C).

A positive LOH of the *DCC* gene was assessed by LOH at one or any combination of these three sites. A total of 10 samples were found positive for LOH. Table 1 shows three samples with LOH for only the VNTR marker, one sample showed LOH for only the M2 marker, and five samples showed LOH for the M3 marker. One sample showed a combination of LOH in the M3 and VNTR markers. Only nine samples with LOH were used for correlation since one sample had an incomplete clinicopathological data.

Statistical analysis of results was done using Fisher's exact test in SPSS. Results were considered statistically significant when p value <0.05. Samples were grouped according to age (\leq 50 or >50), gender (male or female), tumor grade (1, 2, 3 or 4), tumor stage (1, 2, 3 or 4) and site of lesion (colon or rectum). They were then analyzed for correlation with the presence or absence of LOH in the DCC gene (Table 2). Analysis showed that the presence or absence of LOH had significant correlation at the site of lesion in the colon area (p=0.018). No LOH was seen in samples where the lesion was in the rectal area.

Table 2. Statistical analysis.Comparison ofclinicopathological characteristics and LOH.

Variablas	Wit	h LOH	With	out LOH	Tatal	Fisher's exact test		
variables	Count	Row %	Count	Row %	Total	x ²	df	P value
Age								0.655
<=50	3	33.3	6	66.7	9			
> 50	6	20.7	23	79.3	29			
Total	9	23.7	29	76.3	38			
Sex								0.438
Female	2	14.3	12	85.7	14			
Male	7	29.2	17	70.8	24			
Total	9	23.7	29	76.3	38			
Grade						1.998	2	0.368*
1	0	0.0	3	100.0	3			
2	6	26.1	17	73.9	23			
4	3	42.9	4	57.1	7			
Total	9	27.3	24	72.7	33			
Stage						2.711	3	0.438*
1	0	0.0	2	100.0	2			
2	4	36.4	7	63.6	11			
3	3	20.0	12	80.0	15			
4	0	0.0	3	100.0	3			
Total	7	22.6	24	77.4	31			
Site of Lesion							0.018*	
Colon	9	36.0	16	64.0	25			
Rectum	0	0.0	12	100.0	12			
Total	9	24.3	28	75.7	37			

Discussion

The importance of the DCC gene has been a longstanding issue in the molecular basis of the pathway of colorectal carcinogenesis. The studies by Fearon et al demonstrated that while the DCC gene was expressed in most normal tissues, including colonic mucosa, its expression was greatly reduced or absent in most colorectal carcinomas.⁴ In addition, allelic losses of 18q are infrequent in early stage tumors but are common in primary colorectal carcinomas and nearly 100% of hepatic metastases arising from colorectal primaries, implying that chromosome 18q loss may contribute more to progression rather than initiation of colorectal cancer. These early discoveries opened up the possibility that the DCC gene may play a role in the pathogenesis of human colorectal neoplasia, with an initial hypothesis that it alters the normal cell-cell interactions controlling growth and proliferation of colorectal cancer cells.

In the case of MSI, Saletti et al reported that only 15% of sporadic colorectal cancers show presence of MSI.6 In addition, Samowitz et al reported that MSI in sporadic colon cancer is associated with an improved prognosis at the population level.¹⁹ They performed MSI testing using BAT26 as non-coding mononucleotide repeat and the transforming growth factor- β receptor type II as the coding mononucleotide repeat. Using a genetic analyzer, they found significant relationships between MSI and clinicopathological factors such as proximal tumor location, gender, age at diagnosis, poor histological differentiation, and low tumor stage. In our study, we did not detect any MSI in all our samples. It is possible that minute differences in base pairing may be present but could not be detected by the method used in this study (SSCP-PAGE) as compared to the genetic analyzer which provided more sensitive resolution in terms of difference in band sizes.

As for the LOH, several studies have reported that there is significant correlation in terms of clinicopathological characteristics of patients. In the work of Chetty *et al* they observed that allelic imbalance of the *DCC* gene occurs more frequently in patients less than 50 years of age as compared to those who are 50 years and above.²⁰ However, their study did not find any correlation with other clinicopathological parameters.

Our study has shown significant relationship only for the site of lesion but not with other clinicopathological characteristics. Previous studies have not reported any association between LOH of the DCC gene and at the site of lesion like in the work done by Matsuzaki *et al*²¹ but just to point out, they separated the group between those that had lesions in the right and left colon instead of between the colon and rectum. Other studies have used other techniques like immunohistochemistry on paraffin-embedded tissue sections to study association of the DCC gene expression with clinicopathological parameters,²² and in this case, they observed a difference in the gene expression in the site of lesion between colon and rectum. Our results were almost similar with what they have reported.

The results of this study provide new insights on the *DCC* gene, especially in the Filipino population. Unpublished studies involving mutation profile analysis of the *K-ras* and p53 genes showed that high frequency of mutations occur outside the known hotspots, suggesting a different profile for Filipino patients (Nuylan and Enriquez, 2008; Pilante and Enriquez, 2010). Since there are no previously reported associations between LOH and site of lesion, this is the first report of such an association in the Filipino population.

A review article of Cortez and Leonardo²³ emphasized the need to recognize high-risk groups, primary preventive measures and promotion of screening tests. They stressed the importance of genetic profiling to identify high-risk groups to be able to institute a valid and rational program of surveillance.

Due to the small sample size, the correlations seen in this study need to be validated in a similar study with a larger number of samples. As most previous researchers have reported association of the DCC gene with characterization of tumor stage, the absence of correlation in our study has to be confirmed with a larger sample size.

Though no significant association with the tumor stage was observed, the results of this study still provide useful insights on the management of colorectal carcinogenesis especially among Filipino population. For instance, the molecular composition of colorectal tumors may be different, depending on the site of lesion whether in the colon or the rectum. This makes an impact on the decision of the physician on how to treat and manage his patient.

Conclusion

The observation that there is a correlation between LOH of the *DCC* gene and site of lesion contributes to establishing the molecular mechanisms that underlie the pathways for colorectal carcinogenesis. Understanding the characteristics of colorectal cancer at the molecular level is critical in formulating possible methods of diagnosis and treatment for patients. Differential treatment for patients where the lesion occurs in the colon using targeted therapies may thus be possible.

References

- Fearon E, Cho K, Nigro J, et al. Identification of a chromosome 18q gene that is altered in colorectal cancers. Science. 1990; 247(4398):49-56.
- Boland CR, Goel A. Microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. Gastroenterology. 2010; 138(6):2073-87.
- Saletti P, Edwin I, Pack K, et al. Microsatellite instability: application in hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer. Ann Oncol. 2001; 12(2):151-60.
- de la Chapelle A. Microsatellite Instability. New Engl J Med. 2003; 349:209-10.
- Beroukhim R, Lin M, Park Y, et al. Inferring loss-of-heterozygosity from unpaired tumors using high-density oligonucleotide SNP arrays. PLoS Comput Biol. 2006; 2(5):e41.
- Asimakopolous FA, Gilbert JG, Aldred MA, Pearson TC, Green AR. Interstitial deletion constitutes the major mechanism for loss of heterozygosity on chromosome 20q in polycythemia vera. Blood. 1996; 88(7):2690-8.
- Fearon E, Pierceall W. The Deleted in Colorectal Cancer (DCC) Gene: A candidate tumour suppressor gene encoding a cell surface protein with similarity to neural cell adhesion molecules. Cancer Surv. 1995; 24:3-17.
- 11. Mehlen P,Fearon E. Role of the dependence receptor DCC in colorectal cancer pathogenesis. J Clin Oncol. 2004; 22(16): 3420-8.
- Worthley DL, Whitehall VL, Spring KJ, Leggett BA. Colorectal carcinogenesis: Road maps to cancer. World J Gastroenterol. 2007; 13(28):3784-91.
- 13. Shibata D, Reale M, Lavin P, et al. The DCC protein and prognosis in colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med. 1996; 335(23):1727-32.
- Zauber N, Wang C, Lee P, et al. Ki-ras gene mutations, LOH of the APC and DCC genes, and Microsatellite Instability in primary colorectal carcinoma are not associated with micrometastases in pericolonic lymph nodes or with patients' survival. J Clin Pathol. 2004; 57:938-42.
- Loukola A, Eklin K, Laiho P, et al. Microsatellite marker analysis in ccreening for Hereditary Nonpolyposis Colorectal Cancer (HNPCC). Cancer Res. 2001; 61:4545-9.
- Brennetot C, Buhard O, Jourdan F, et al. Mononucleotide repeats BAT-26 and BAT-25 accurately detect MSI-H tumors and predict tumor content: Implications for population screening. Int J Cancer. 2005; 113:446-50.
- Gao X, Honn KV, Grignon D, Sakr W, Chen YQ. Frequent loss of expression and loss of heterozygosity of the putative tumor suppressor gene DCC in prostatic carcinomas. Cancer Res. 1993; 53:2723-7.
- Akkiprik M, Ataizi-Celikel C, Dusunceli F, et al. Clinical significance of p53, K-ras and DCC gene alterations in the stage I-II colorectal cancers. J Gastrointestin Liver Dis. 2007; 16(1):11-7.
- Samowitz W, Curtin K, Ma K, et al. Microsatellite instability in sporadic colon cancer is associated with an improved prognosis at the population level. Cancer Epidimiol Biomarkers Prev. 2001; 10:917-23.
- Chetty R, Naidoo R and Schneider J. Allelic imbalance and microsatellite instability of the DCC gene in colorectal cancer in patients under the age of 35 using fluorescent DNA technology. Mol Pathol. 1998; 51:35-8.
- Matsuzaki K, Deng G, Tanaka H, et al. The relationship between global methylation level, loss of heterozygosity and microsatellite instability in sporadic colorectal cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2005; 11(24):8564-9.
- 22. Sun X, Rutten S, Zhang H, et al. Expression of the deleted in colorectal cancer gene is related to prognosis in DNA diploid and low proliferative colorectal adenocarcinoma. J Clin Oncol. 1999; 17(6):1745-50.
- Cortez E, Leonardo M. Comprehensive review of published articles on colon and rectal cancer in the Philippines, 1980-2002. St. Luke's J Med. 2004; 2:3-8.

Tsang AH, Cheng KH, Wong AS, et al. Current and future molecular diagnostics in colorectal cancer and colorectal adenoma. World J Gastroenterol. 2014; 20(14):3847-57.

Brooks D, Winawer S, Rex D, et al. Colonoscopy surveillance after polypectomy and colorectal cancer resection. Am Fam Physician. 2008; 77(7): 924-6.

^{3.} Hanahan D, Weinberg R. The hallmarks of cancer. Cell. 2000; 100(1):57-70.